

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_120422
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Adoption Traits and Key Production Constraints of Improved Maize Varieties Using Participatory Research Appraisal
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research Article is very nicely composed for the scientific community. Maize is a very important cereal crop and is also known as the queen of all cereals because of its contribution to food security as well as diversity in value addition. As agro-ecology not only for maize but for almost all crops is continuously changing. In the changing scenarios making the cultivation of maize resilient both biotically and abiotically is the need of the hour. Adoption of new improved variety is one of the ways not only to enhance the socio-economic status of farmers but also the economic stability of the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable and justifies the whole dissertation.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No addition or deletion is required in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all the subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate and determine the smooth flow and transition.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct because <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appropriate methodology has been used to write the manuscript. 2. Relevant statistical tools have been used to determine the significant socio-demographic profile of maize growers of the selected locale of study 3. This research is also replicable in some other places. 4. All the subsections are scientifically thought-provoking and satisfy and justify the relevance of research among the research-oriented community. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are sufficient and all the references used in this article are recent considering the duration of the study which was June 2017 to December 2017	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and English quality of the article are good enough for scientific and scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The article is very useful for the scientific community and also enhances the knowledge domain of Extension science. Data collected, analyzed, and information generated will be used for references for conducting research in the future.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Narendra Kumar Sharma
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India