

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_122879</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Examining the intersection of Agricultural Production, Farm revenue, Gender dynamics and Adaptation strategies: Amidst the climate change</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The authors have reviewed the effects of climate change on agricultural production, how this impacts revenue and the resulting gender dynamics in the adoption strategies. These is an area of importance with the need to feed the growing population amidst the changing climate on land resources. This is timely and relevant. The paper is well referenced with a good readability.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Suitable but can be changed to "Examining the intersection of Agricultural Production, Farm revenue, Gender dynamics and Adaptation strategies in the face of climate change" which is more suitable.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>It is sufficient</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>They are appropriate</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The figure captions should be below the table.</li> <li>➤ Indicate sources of the figures.</li> <li>➤ The manuscript has used relevant and current references throughout the text.</li> <li>➤ Reread to correct minor grammatical errors and typos</li> </ul>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The manuscript is well referenced with appropriate and recent references.</li> <li>➤ Authors should make sure that all references appearing in the text also appear in the list. For example, the following references are in the list but not in the text: 11, 16, 31, 34, 40, 41, 43, 45, 46, 76, and 81. Also, number 62, appears twice (Rozenzweig eta l., 1995 and Rozenzweig and Hillel 1995). Which one is correct? Further 64 also appears twice: Sanghi, Mendelsohn and Diner 1998 and Sanghi and Mandelsohn 2008. Please correct.</li> </ul>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Authors should reread to correct minor grammatical errors and typos.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A good compact review.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bonface Manono</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Colorado State University, USA</b>