

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AIR_121968 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluating the Influence of Comorbidities on Drug-Resistant TB Treatment Efficacy in Liwa Hospital |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The clear identification of comorbidities such as diabetes and hypertension as significant factors influencing treatment efficacy adds depth to our understanding of DR-TB. However, the study could benefit from a broader discussion on potential interventions and long-term implications for public health policy. Overall, it provides a foundation for future research and highlights the need for comprehensive approaches in managing DR-TB. | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The conclusion effectively summarizes the findings but could emphasize the implications for clinical practice and public health more explicitly. | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction section is not coherent and it should be revised carefully in order to be more comprehensible. In method section, please be clearer regarding to data collection tool and sampling technique. The structure of the manuscript is good, but I have concern regarding to the place of your objective. Why do you insert the objective in method section? | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sample size of 28 patients for detailed analysis may be too small to draw robust conclusions regarding associations with poor outcomes, potentially leading to statistical insignificance. The claim that "adverse drug events were minimal" lacks a comprehensive assessment of all potential side effects, which could be misleading without a thorough evaluation of patient-reported outcomes. | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Make sure that all the references are correct, recent and formatted properly for US National Library of Medicine. | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please improve your manuscript for syntax and grammar | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Frehiwoot Atsbeha Woldegiorgis |
| Department, University & Country | Hawassa University, Ethiopia |