

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122880</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Centrality of Information Sources in Agricultural Input Networks: Insights from Social Network Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community because it provides insights to the current issue problem in India as well as significant benefits to the agricultural field especially in improving the agricultural value chain.</p> <p>Moreover, the analysis of the study which employs Social Network Analysis (SNA) to explore the centrality measures (degree centrality, closeness centrality, and betweenness centrality) is quite interesting and thorough. The coverage of the study was broadly generalizable in India, over a wide area pertaining to agricultural field of knowledge.</p> <p>In addition, the manuscript can gain insights into the flow of information and identify key influencers within the network used in India.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title pertinent to <i>Centrality of Information Sources in Agricultural Input Networks: Insights from Social Network Analysis</i> is relevant, concise, and informative.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides the necessary background or context for the whole research. However, the problem statement of the study was not included.</p> <p><b>Suggestions:</b> If possible, problem statement of the study should be included in the abstract.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript (INTRODUCTION, METHODOLOGY, RESULTS, DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION) are appropriate. However, the subsection was lacking background setting of improving the agricultural value chain in India</p> <p><b>Suggestions:</b> If possible, background setting of the need of improving agricultural value chain in India should be included.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct in terms of qualitative approach, sampling procedure as well as the analysis part. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire and in-depth interviews which was designed to capture details about the information sources used by input dealers, including traditional sources.</p> <p><b>Suggestions:</b> If possible, the three Tables (Table 1. Degree Centrality, Table 2. Closeness Centrality and Table 3 Betweenness Centrality) in Page 5 – 7 should be combined into one table with three columns (Degree Centrality, Closeness Centrality and Betweenness Centrality) since the eight sources of information are the same in the three Tables.</p> <p><b>Suggestions:</b> If possible, include a few implications and direction for future research in the Conclusion section.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>=</p>	<p>Most of the references are quite recent (year between 2021- 2023). However, the References section did not follow the guidelines developed by the American Psychological Association's Publication Manual.</p> <p><b>Suggestions:</b> The References section should use the guidelines for scientific writing that have been developed by the American Psychological Association (APA) or APA Format.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the English quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications because it's easy to understand and communicates well for the readers.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript meets the general criteria for the journal. The content of the manuscript is appropriate for the journal because it provides insights into the agricultural field, especially in improving the agricultural value chain. Moreover, the methodology is appropriate and comprehensive, and so do the samples of the study. The samples are appropriate and representative.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Cheng Sim Quah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute Aminuddin Baki, Malaysia</b>