

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122566
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Institutional and Non-institutional Agricultural Credit on Farm Income and Constraint analysis in Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is a comparative study on institutional and non-institutional farmers. The study adopted the cost concept and Garrette ranking for the constraint. Although concerns were raised about mentioning agricultural credit, which were not listed in the manuscript. This article have not mentioned anything on the institutional and non-institutional agricultural credit, but solely concentrate on institutional and non-institutional farmers. And from literatures, discussions have been on institutional and non-institutional agricultural credit. The former means credit that farmers get from formal sources such as banks, cooperative societies, while the later means credit that farmers get from informal sources- money lenders, relatives.</p> <p>However, if the study is on institutional and non-institutional farmers. The topic has to be modified as such and explaining this term in the introduction.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>No Cost and Return analysis between Institutional and Non-institutional Farmers in Telangana</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I have outlined them above</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>There is need to re-work on the reference</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not arranged alphabetically 2. Some in-text citations are not in the list 3. Some reference are not in the in-text 4. Follow the journal preferred reference style 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bamigboye Oluwaseun Tosin
Department, University & Country	Federal University Oye Ekiti, Nigeria