

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122415
Title of the Manuscript:	TOP 100 MOST CITED ARTICLES ON THE USE OF OZONE IN DENTISTRY: BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article provides a comprehensive bibliometric analysis of the top 100 most cited papers on the use of ozone in dentistry. Utilizing databases such as Web of Science and Scopus, the study identifies key trends, influential research, and prominent authors in this field. The methodology involved rigorous selection criteria and advanced bibliometric tools like VOSviewer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article effectively demonstrates the impact of ozone in dental research and provides valuable insights into the evolution of this field. It suggests further research into optimizing ozone application protocols and exploring its potential in emerging dental technologies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Approved	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Puneeta Vohra
Department, University & Country	SGT University, India