

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122415
Title of the Manuscript:	TOP 100 MOST CITED ARTICLES ON THE USE OF OZONE IN DENTISTRY: BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is important because it fills a gap in understanding how and in what clinical contexts medical ozone is used in dentistry. this is important because, in order for the thermal properties of the gas mixture to be optimized in clinical practice, it is necessary to know what has been done in the world and to arrive at standardized protocols based on scientific evidence in the future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No issues are to be found, in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is compelling and well organized. The abstract clearly summarizes the study objectives, methodology, results and their significance. In the introduction, the authors provide a clear overview of the current state of scientific research. The materials and methods section is thorough and describes and evaluation criteria of the selection of the 100 articles well in detail. Furthermore, the use of graphics contributes positively to the clarity of the article. The results are clearly presented and the discussion effectively links the findings with existing literature, offering an in-depth analysis of the topic. The literature is updated and consistent with the content of the article. The English language is correct. Overall, the article is well organized, easy to follow, and well researched.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but the formatting is not correct (e.g. spacign between citations 16 and 17)	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matteo Bonetti
Department, University & Country	Italy