

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJ SSE_122577 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Policies on Women's Migration from South Asia: A Protective Shield or a Restrictive Barrier? |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Firstly, the manuscript is not a researched paper but a position paper. Secondly, the author did not have any solid premise for the study Thirdly, the manuscript is more of rhetoric than a precise study Finally, the manuscript lacks the ingredients of a researched paper | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, check the manuscript | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | No. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is not scientific but a positional presentation. 1. There was no premise for the topic 2. There was no specific aim or objective for the study 3. No research questions were posed | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. - | Yes but no addition | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Fairly | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | SEE ATTACHMENT | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Lewis, E. O. Abejide |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria |