

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_122577
Title of the Manuscript:	Policies on Women's Migration from South Asia: A Protective Shield or a Restrictive Barrier?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses a critical and understudied aspect of migration - the policies governing women's migration from South Asia to the Gulf countries. It provides valuable insights into the challenges faced by women migrant workers, the impact of policies on their mobility, and the need for more proactive measures to ensure their safety and well-being. The comprehensive analysis of existing policies and their implications makes this manuscript a valuable contribution to the field of migration studies. I like this manuscript because it sheds light on an important social issue and emphasizes the urgency of developing more inclusive and protective policies for women migrant workers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable as it accurately reflects the central theme of the manuscript. The title is clear, concise, and thought-provoking, effectively conveying the essence of the research.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article provides a comprehensive overview of the research. However, it could benefit from a brief mention of the methodology employed in the study, such as the data sources and analytical approach. This addition would enhance the abstract by providing readers with a clearer understanding of the research methods and data used in the study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Regarding the subsections and structure of the manuscript, the content is well-organized and logically structured. But there is a minor revision, the author directly starts 3.4 from 3.2.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive review of existing policies on women's migration from South Asia to the Gulf countries. It effectively integrates data from national and international organizations, ensuring a well-rounded analysis of the policies. Additionally, the inclusion of earlier studies and reports from various organizations enhances the depth and credibility of the research.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The inclusion of references from reputable sources, such as the United Nations, World Bank, and scholarly articles, ensures the reliability and credibility of the information presented.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript maintains a formal and academic tone, utilizing appropriate terminology and scholarly language throughout. The writing style is clear, concise, and well-structured, which is essential for scholarly publications.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haymanot Bassie Mognhod
Department, University & Country	Debre Markos University, Ethiopia