

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJ SSE_122577</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Policies on Women's Migration from South Asia: A Protective Shield or a Restrictive Barrier?</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Reviewers Comments</p> <p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I recommend including some suggestions in the abstract.</li> <li>The methodology used for data collection and analysis lacks clarity.</li> </ol> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I recommend expanding the introduction as the problem statement is lacking in clarity.</li> <li>It is advised to include sufficient citations in the introduction.</li> <li>The research objectives are not explicitly defined.</li> </ol> <p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The size is too short</li> <li>I recommend incorporating a case study, a map, detailing the time frame, outlining the data collection and analysis methods</li> <li>-It is advisable to provide a detailed description of the research area to enhance readers' understanding of the actual issue.</li> </ol> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b></p> <p>Given that the paper relies on secondary sources, it is imperative to include enough citations.</p> <p><b>comments in general</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The methodology requires revisions to ensure accuracy and effectiveness.</li> <li>- I propose suggestions to address the challenges that have been identified.</li> <li>- References from websites associated with unrecognized institutions should be disregarded.</li> <li>- It is advisable to include more citations in the document.</li> <li>- The introduction should be revised as it is inadequately developed, lacking citations, and inadequately explains the problem at hand.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Emmanuel Niyonzima</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Pan African University, Cameroon</b></p>