

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122902
Title of the Manuscript:	Dietary behavior of rural women in Kurnool district of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limited study is available to explore the association between SES and dietary behaviours. 2. Enhance the research evidence on dietary behaviours of rural women. 3. Untouched Research area. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>No, Title may be:</p> <p>Effect of Socio-economic status on the dietary behaviours of rural women in Kurnool district of Andhra Pradesh.</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Objective should be clear with sampling design and sample size.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Author can also add recommendation in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>No, Author may be incorporate some points in the manuscript.</p> <p>The sampling design should be clear in methodology. How to calculate sample size? What are the selection criteria for women? Profile of rural women can be added. Which range of Monthly income and expenditure are significant? Which types of occupation are significant? Data should be compare with ICMR RDA guidelines or mention somewhere in manuscript. Can be added chart or graph.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Sentence formation can improve esp. grammar	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajeev Singh Dhakad
Department, University & Country	The IIHMR University, India