

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122905
Title of the Manuscript:	"Assessing Vulnerable Employment Among Rural Youth in Agricultural Endeavour: A Study of Sustainable Livelihood Capitals in Karnataka"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	These types of studies are important to know the dynamics playing. This a good piece of work the author and his team. This study will help in encouraging more studies relating to employment vulnerability among the rural youth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	An Assessment of Employment Vulnerability among the Rural Youth of Karnataka. (May be considered)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its good and comprehensive, but the author should add the research design.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction may improved and made more dynamic. • Please mention which research methodology was used for the work was carried out. • All the Data table must have the "N" Sample size on right corner of the table. • Mean and SD should be mentioned for all the data tables. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are good enough.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyabrata Mohanty
Department, University & Country	Visva-Bharati, India