

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_122149
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-inflammatory Effect and Analgesic properties of the Leaf Extract of <i>Allophylus spicatus</i> in Mice
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it contributes to the growing body of research on plant-based therapeutics, particularly in the context of traditional medicine. The study of <i>Allophylus spicatus</i> offers valuable insights into the potential of natural compounds for managing inflammation and pain, which could lead to the development of new, safer alternatives to synthetic drugs. I appreciate the manuscript's focus on a lesser-known plant species, which broadens our understanding of biodiversity and its medicinal potential. However, the study could benefit from further exploration of the bioactive compounds responsible for the observed effects, which would strengthen the findings and their applicability.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Anti-inflammatory Effect and Analgesic Properties of the Leaf Extract of <i>Allophylus spicatus</i> in Mice" is clear and informative, accurately reflecting the content and focus of the study. However, if you want to make it more specific or engaging, you could consider the following alternative:</p> <p>"Evaluation of Anti-inflammatory and Analgesic Effects of <i>Allophylus spicatus</i> Leaf Extract in Murine Models"</p> <p>This alternative title emphasizes the experimental approach ("Evaluation") and specifies the use of "Murine Models," which might appeal to a more scientific audience.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It might be more apt if the dose selection is justified or included in it</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes, its appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Yes. It might have been more apt if the criteria for dose selection is mentioned. And there should be justification given in the footnote regarding the description of superscripts I mean exactly the comparision with wich group and p value accordingly might have emphasised on</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajaputana Lakshmi Manisha
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy College of Pharmacy, India