

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_122979</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Changes in soil physico-chemical properties under different land-use systems</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses the impact of various land-use systems on soil physico-chemical properties, a topic of significant importance in understanding soil health and sustainability. By examining these changes, the study contributes valuable insights into how different agricultural and land management practices affect soil quality, which is essential for developing strategies to enhance soil conservation and productivity. The research fills a gap in knowledge by providing empirical data on how specific land-use practices influence soil properties over time.</p> <p>I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough analysis and relevance to ongoing discussions in soil science and sustainable agriculture. The focus on practical implications for land management and soil conservation makes it a valuable resource for both researchers and practitioners in the field.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>No, change topics</b></p> <p><b>Evaluating the Long-Term Impact of Agroforestry on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties and Fertility</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Need more hard work on the abstract and especially in the conclusion.</p> <p><b>Need more work and write short and essay to understand</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Ensure the manuscript follows a clear, logical sequence. Common structures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction: Background information, research questions, and objectives.</li> <li>• Materials and Methods: Detailed explanation of the experimental setup and methods used.</li> <li>• Results: Presentation of data and findings.</li> <li>• Discussion: Interpretation of results, comparison with existing literature, and implications.</li> <li>• Conclusion: Summary of findings and recommendations.</li> <li>• • Clarity and Readability: Each subsection should be clearly labeled and easy to follow. Ensure that:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Headings: Appropriately reflect the content of the section.</li> <li>• Transitions: Smoothly guide the reader from one section to the next.</li> <li>• Language: Is precise and avoids jargon or complex language that might confuse readers.</li> <li>• • Grammar and Style: Check for grammatical errors and ensure consistency in style. Common issues might include:                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tense Consistency: Maintain consistent use of past, present, or future tense.</li> <li>• Sentence Structure: Avoid overly complex or run-on sentences.</li> <li>• Punctuation: Ensure proper use of commas, periods, and other punctuation marks.</li> <li>• • Readability: If the manuscript is difficult to understand, it might help to simplify the language or restructure sentences. Aim for clarity and brevity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its meticulous methodology and comprehensive data analysis. The research adheres to rigorous scientific standards by employing well-established techniques to examine changes in soil physico-chemical properties under different land-use systems. The use of appropriate statistical methods to analyze the data further ensures the accuracy and reliability of the results. Additionally, the manuscript includes thorough discussions that contextualize the findings within the broader scientific literature, validating its contributions to the field. Overall, the careful approach to data collection, analysis, and interpretation underscores the scientific credibility of the study.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes , references are recent	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not essay to understand for scholar need to write properly with creative words and essay to understand for every scholar	
Optional/General comments	Need more hard work on the abstract and especially in the conclusion or discussion.  Manage writing format properly  Results and Discussion: Separate the results and discussion sections to allow for a more detailed analysis of the findings.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eman Fatima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Government College University, Pakistan</b>