

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_122887</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield characteristics of mustard (Brassica juncea L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study was conducted in mustard ( <i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) to know effect of chemical fertilizers, bio fertilizers, and nano fertilizers on growth and yield attributes of crop. As per the research article, the effect of treatment with Nano DAP @ 40ppm + RD of N&K (120:60) + Azotobacter @2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + PSB @4 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> was found to be best in terms of growth and yield attributes of crop.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The influence of integrated nutrient management on the growth and yield characteristics of mustard ( <i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Many corrections were found in the manuscript, required corrections are highlighted in the red colour. Conclusion part is presented with minimum words. Precise outcome of the study and its advantages should be incorporated.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Many corrections were found in the manuscript, required corrections are highlighted in the red colour. After incorporation of corrections, this manuscript may be published.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, however they may include relevant recent reference	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, required corrections are highlighted in the red colour and updated in manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Plz go through all quoted references before publication	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. B. Hareesh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CCRI, India</b>