

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122887
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield characteristics of mustard (Brassica juncea L.)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title must be revised in such away so that it can reflect the biofertilizer and nano-fertilizer use in the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Hypothesis of the work is not well formulated in the 'Introduction' section. The authors did not present a justification for carrying out this study. What is the hypothesis of the present study? *It is insufficient and needs more improvement. *The novelty of the work must be identified and stated more carefully. The authors have to try to explain why this paper is relevant to the wider readership. *Authors should show the limitations of previous papers. <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Result should be written in concise way. *Some parameters must be represented in figures such as plant height, number of leaves plant-1 and number of primary branches plant-1. * Duncan's Multiple Range Test should be done to understand the statistical significance among the treatments for different parameters. <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Need more improvement. It is too superficial and not a meaningful discussion. Author should try to strengthen the discussion part. *Put reason why this type of result is obtained. <p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Authors need to rephrase the "Conclusions" section. *Add some limitations, underscore the scientific value added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your findings/results and future scope of study. *Overall, the manuscript needs extensive language editing and formatting. There are so many typography mistakes in the manuscript. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References must be upto date from last 10 years.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Extensive language editing needed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhik Patra
Department, University & Country	Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India