

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122350
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of groundwater and its quality parameters on soil nutrient dynamics in Northern parts of Ranebennur taluk, Haveri district, Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper explores the groundwater and its quality parameters on soil nutrient in Northern parts of Ranebennur taluk. This gives the insights regarding the physiochemical parameters of soils.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, its suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good. Before going directly into the study objectives, give a small glimpse about the need of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has collected the data and attempted to explore it. But the paper lacks severe statistical analysis. Only the descriptive statistics and correlation is done. If they had done any design, mention the analysis details. There is no significant finding from the paper. But they could work on it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references is not sufficient. It should be increased.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Vishnu Shankar
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India