

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJA_122075</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Organic and Inorganic sources of nutrients on Growth and Yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) in Bihar</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This version is very important for the scientific community because currently we have the problem of environmental pollution and its effects on agricultural products and the lack of food for humans. In this version, instead of using chemical fertilizers, organic fertilizers are used and it is a good solution and alternative to chemical farming, so that we can have more strength in organic farming in terms of quality and quantity, and we can still reduce pollution. And because I myself am one of those who support organic farming.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Original Research Article Effects of organic and mineral sources of nutrients on the growth and performance of okra ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) in Bihar.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	From my point of view, the abstract of the article is not at all like an abstract, it should be written in which paragraphs are connected to each other and then the key points should be moved, but here the research method, place of research, conclusion and collation are also given, which is appropriate from my point of view. is not These parts must be put in place in order.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In my opinion, its structure is not suitable at all, it should be organized according to the format of a scientific journal. For example, at the beginning of the abstract with keywords, then the introduction, research method, results and discussion, Conclusion, and at the end of the references.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	According to the NOVA tables and the data that I saw here, the research plan and data collection have been carried out in an orderly manner, which indicates that it is a scientific article, but efforts should be made in the content and shifting of the text to obtain a comprehensive article. Otherwise, in my opinion, it does not have the ability to be printed in the magazine.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In terms of the number of sources, it is enough. I suggest that they study the newer sources and still write in the API format. Here, it is written in a jumbled way. They should all be used in the same way and from the API system, and in the text, referencing should be inserted numerically.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Well, the written language is not bad, but some attention to punctuation and punctuation is needed to affect the quality of the article. Tables should be arranged according to a specific format for journals, this arrangement is not academic at all	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Najibullah Ebrahimi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ghazni University, Afghanistan</b>