

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJA_121918</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF EIGHTEEN GRAIN CORN VARIETIES CULTIVATED IN BRIS SOIL</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is crucial important to the scientific community. The research potentially be used as an alternative for high-yield production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article's title is appropriate and relevant to the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Concise Objective should be added in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure and subsections are adequate; however, a more thorough assessment of the literature should be given to the introduction.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The nature of the article and the sources it uses ensure that it is scientifically accurate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ignore autonumbering. Arrange all the references in alphabetically and year wise in reference section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision required	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Conclusion should be revised and resemble to the findings.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Deepak Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shobhit Deemed University, India</b>