

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_122296
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sex, prehypertension, and baseline LF HRV power on blood pressor response to cold pressor test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically this manuscript seems to be great importance as autonomic reactivity and blood pressor in response to cold pressor may establish the diagnostic value for hypertension in the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, It would be better to modify the title as "Assessment of autonomic and cardiovascular reactivity in response to cold pressor in pre-hypertensive individuals"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should improve by clearly writing of background as per the title e.g about ANS, cardiovascular reactivity and prehypertension. Objective of the study should be to compare the autonomic and cardiovascular reactivity in response to cold pressor in pre-hypertensive individuals. Methods, result is ok Need to add conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Acknowledge and conflict of interest is missing	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically the manuscript is robust as author designed the study to establish the diagnostic value HRV for hypertension in pre-hypertensive individuals. Content writing in introduction and English language is very clear. There is very good clarity of tabulation and graphical presentation of results. Well written discussion in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate references	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Adequate	
Optional/General comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amrendra Jha
Department, University & Country	BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Nepal