

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123021
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Financial Impact of Insurance on Farmers: A Case Study from Andhra Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides a detailed analysis of the impact of agricultural insurance on farmers' financial stability and productivity in Andhra Pradesh, India. It offers valuable insights into the cost and returns associated with insured and non-insured farming, highlighting the role of insurance in enhancing technical efficiency and crop yield. I appreciate the manuscript for its comprehensive approach, which combines empirical data with policy recommendations, making it a useful resource for both researchers and policymakers. However, I would have preferred more in-depth analysis on the factors affecting the low adoption of agricultural insurance, as this could help in formulating more targeted interventions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear methodology, systematic data collection, and comprehensive analysis. It employs a stratified random sampling method and provides detailed information on the cost and returns of farming, which enhances the reliability of the findings. The use of stochastic frontier analysis to measure technical efficiency is appropriate for evaluating the impact of insurance on agricultural productivity. Additionally, the manuscript's findings are well-supported by empirical data and relevant literature, demonstrating a thorough understanding of the subject matter and ensuring the validity of its conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes Alghizzawi, M. A., Youssef, M. A., Abu Zraiq, M., & Elmassri, M. (2022). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and firm performance: evidence from Jordan. <i>Cogent Business & Management</i> , 9(1), 2088637.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Ahmad Mahmoud Abu Zraiq
Department, University & Country	University Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM), Malaysia