

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122901</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Socio-economic Sustainability of Rearing Deoni Cattle in Bidar District of Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on the socio-economic sustainability of rearing Deoni cattle in the Bidar District of Karnataka is of considerable importance to the scientific community, particularly in the fields of agricultural economics and sustainable livestock management. By examining the economic viability and socio-cultural relevance of Deoni cattle, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the sustainability of traditional livestock practices in rural India. I appreciate the manuscript for highlighting the intersection of economic, social, and environmental factors in livestock farming, which is crucial for developing sustainable agricultural practices. However, a deeper exploration of the long-term ecological impacts of these practices could enhance its contribution to the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need more hard work on the abstract and especially in the conclusion or discussion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	To determine if the subsections and structure of your manuscript are appropriate, it would be helpful to know more details about how you've organized it. Typically, a research paper in agriculture might include sections like Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion. Subsections within these main sections can vary based on your specific research focus and the journal's guidelines.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it adheres to established research methodologies, including thorough experimental design, data collection, and analysis. The authors have systematically addressed the research questions with appropriate controls and statistical rigour, ensuring the reliability of their findings. The manuscript is well-supported by relevant literature, indicating that the authors are well-versed in the current state of research in this field. Furthermore, the interpretation of the results is logical and aligns with the observed data, providing confidence in the scientific validity of the conclusions drawn	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference should be used old in this articles. Note that all references were provide at least 2018 or above	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English grammar mistake in the article's so I recommend using proper sentences.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Your experiment's summary is clear and informative. However, to enhance its readability and ensure that all critical details are conveyed effectively, here are some suggestions:</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION:</b> Provide a brief context about socio-economic sustainability of rearing deoni cattle in bidar district of karnataka also clearly state the specific objective of this study</p> <p><b>METHODOLOGY:</b> Include more details about the experimental setup.</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b> Separate the results and discussion sections to allow for a more detailed analysis of the findings.</p> <p><b>CONCLUSION:</b> summarize the key findings and their implications</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hazib Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hazara University Mansehra, Pakistan</b>