

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122301
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic purity testing of F1 hybrids of cotton using DNA markers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I assumed that the author did scientific research in the country of India. F1 hybrids are used in cotton cultivation in India. Therefore, it is important for farmers to determine whether the hybrids classified for planting are pure according to the markers. The research carried out is relevant. The article is important to me.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The summary of the article is comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript uses modern research methods. The current topic is selected. The obtained results are convincingly presented. Compared with the same scientific studies.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>Reference is adequate and up to date. I recommend from foreign information. They are as follows: 1. https://www.geneticsmr.org/articles/molecular-identification-of-variety-purity-in-a-cotton-hybrid-with-unknown-parentage-using-dnassr-markers.pdf 2. https://www.ijcmas.com/9-1-2020/Khushbu%20Patel.%20et%20al.pdf 3. https://www.ojs.pphouse.org/index.php/IJBSM/article/view/947/843</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript presents a literature review. But it is written together in the introduction. According to the requirements of IMRAD, the "Literature Review" section and "Keywords" should be written in the article. A manuscript is appropriate if it is written in accordance with IMRAD requirements.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abror Mamajonov Adiljonovich
Department, University & Country	Andijan State University, Uzbekistan