

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122920
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON REARING AND BIOLOGY OF Bracon brevicornis
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The findings of the study have profound merits to the scientific community and farm entrepreneurs towards the understanding and utilization of <i>B. brevicornis</i> as a promising biological control agent, offering an eco-friendly alternative to chemical pesticides.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript should be changed to "STUDY ON THE BREEDING BIOLOGY AND CAPTIVE REARING OF <i>Bracon brevicornis</i> "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but need some corrections as suggested directly on the edited manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In many places of the manuscript, the wordings and sentences are not grammatically correct, and should be revised by a native English Speaker. Please see my recommendations in the General Comments Section	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I am glad to evaluate this interesting piece of short research paper and found that the authors have done good jobs in conducting this interesting research as well as writing their manuscript in a nice manner. However, there are still many ways that the words and languages can be improved (especially, by a native English speaker) as well as formatting/arranging of table, figure, citations and references, which need to be corrected/revised based on the prescribed guidelines of the journal before publication. Therefore, I recommend this paper for publication in " Journal of Experimental Agriculture International" with the major revisions as suggested above as well as red-marked corrections given throughout the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Aminur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Jashore University of Science and Technology (JUST), Bangladesh