

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JCTI_121410</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>USING INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS TO MODEL GYNECOLOGICAL CANCER HETEROGENEITY IN BREAST AND OVARIAN CANCER</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community because gynecological cancers are increasing worldwide and for the same many researches are going on to develop promising treatment strategies. This article deals with the use of pluripotent stem cells in terms of iPSC-derived models for studying gynecological cancer heterogeneity. For this kind of study, the researchers can develop novel treatment modalities with promising outcomes and fewer adverse events.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be more specific and easier to understand For example, <b>STUDY OF GYNECOLOGICAL CANCER HETEROGENEITY IN BREAST AND OVARIAN CANCER MODELS USING INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive. The keywords should be written after the abstract. In this article, keywords are mentioned after the introduction.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is improper. Keywords are not written after the abstract. The ethical considerations point should be written as the first point in material and methods. The heading of conflicts of interest should be written after the conclusion	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript looks scientifically robust and technically sound after reading the methodology, statistical analysis, molecular analysis, etc. The interpretation of results matched with the analysis and graphical representation etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The present references are recent. The discussion should be more elaborative and relevant references should be added according to it.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language should be improved and the sentences should be shorter and easier to understand.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Megharani Mahajan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>