

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_121430</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPOSITION OF ORCHID SPECIES IN DIFFERENT MUNICIPALITIES OF NORTHERN SAMAR, PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I appreciated the article since it broadens the scientific community's understanding of orchid conservation and use with new findings. So that when helpful criticism was included, this manuscript could benefit researchers more.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	COMPOSITION OF ORCHID SPECIES IN DIFFERENT MUNICIPALITIES OF NORTHERN SAMAR, PHILIPPINES	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The article's abstract is precise, however it needs to be redrafted to make clarity via including phrases like: Key obstacles to orchid conservation, the goal of your research, principal acquisitions and conclusion	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, it is appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The author(s) of this work adheres to both scientific methods and the journal's requirements.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Despite bulk of the references are relevant and sufficient, there are few remarks in the text that need source citations and reference reordering according to AJOB's guidelines.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It has good linguistic quality.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Discussed in brief on introduction section covered the research gap, a suggested solution, the extent and rationale of the work completed. Discussed in-depth the materials and methods. Discuss briefly about the results and discussion section. Mentions author contribution, competing interests, and acknowledgment	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitu Gogoi
Department, University & Country	Silapatther Science College, India