

Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on nutrient use efficiency of irrigated chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Scarce Rainfall Zone of Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out on sandy loam soils at Agricultural College, Mahanandi during *rabiseason of 2021-22 in order to* study the yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient use efficiency of chickpea under varied levels of fertilizer application. The experimental field was laid out in a randomized block design with 10 treatments, each replicated three times. *Nitrogen was applied through urea in two halves, one at sowing and one at 30 days after sowing (DAS). Complete dose of single super phosphate was applied through single super phosphate as a basal in all the 3 levels @ 50, 60 and 70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹.* The treatment with application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉) resulted in significantly higher values of seed yield (1346 kg ha⁻¹), harvest index (49.2), nutrient uptake (N, P and K uptake) and phosphorus use efficiency (22.4 %). Significantly higher nitrogen use efficiency with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₄) and with application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀) resulted higher haulm yield (1352 kg ha⁻¹). Harvest index and post-harvest soil nutrient status (available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O) were not significantly affected by levels of fertilizer application. *The present investigation concluded that 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉) was the optimum dose of fertilizer for better performance of chickpea in scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh.*

Keywords: Chickpea, nitrogen, phosphorus, nutrient uptake and yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

“India is the world's largest producer, and consumer of pulses. Therefore, pulses are important for Indian economy. The nitrogen-fixing property of pulses improves soil fertility, increasing and extending the productivity of farmland and also reduces the need of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers. Pulses also produce a smaller carbon footprint, indirectly reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Pulses provide protein and fiber, as well as a significant source of vitamins and minerals such as iron, zinc, folate and magnesium. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is self-pollinated, *rabiseason* legume crop that belongs to family Fabaceae, subfamily Faboideae. Chickpea normally referred as

king of pulses. In India, chickpea is cultivated in 10.19 M ha with a production of 11.57 Mt, and with a productivity of 1172 kg ha⁻¹ (www.indiastatagri.in2023-24). In Andhra Pradesh, it is cultivated in 0.302 M ha with a production of 0.30 Mt and with a productivity of 994 kg ha⁻¹ (www.des.ap.gov.in 2023-24). Chickpea contains 62 % carbohydrates, 21 % protein and 2.2 % fat and also a food of high nutritive value having considerable amount of vitamins A, B and C along with iron, phosphorus and calcium. Among the pulses, chickpea has relatively lower protein content but of higher biological value and protein digestibility” (Kishor *et al.*, 2017). Proper nutrient management is a key factor among many, that influence grain yield, significantly contributing to higher productivity. Though chickpea being a grain legume is capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen, but a starter dose of nitrogen is required for proper growth and development. Different measures have been suggested to increase crop nitrogen use efficiency, such as using the optimal rate, time and method of application to coordinate nitrogen supply with crop demand. Nitrogen fertilizer rates and application timing are decisive factors in obtaining more yields. Compared to single nitrogen application, split application of nitrogen fertilizer results in higher recovery efficiency, and higher grain yields” (Malekian *et al.*, 2019). “Commonly, legumes demand excessive amount of phosphorus as the process of symbiotic nitrogen fixation consumes a lot of energy” (Schulze *et al.*, 2006). Apart from being a constituent of certain malic acids, phosphorus stimulates root, seed and pod development as well as aids in vital metabolic functions” (Singh *et al.*, 2012).

Farmers are usually unaware of optimum dose of fertilizers when grown under irrigated conditions. The excessive, and imbalance application of fertilizers increase cost of production. This may in turn lead to the depletion of soil nutrient status or soil salinization. So proper nutrient management is mandatory for obtaining higher yield in chickpea. But such scientific work has not yet been conducted till now about the optimum level of fertilizers under irrigated conditions, but farmers are using more quantity of fertilizers in split doses where crop is cultivated with one irrigation at 30-35 days after sowing. By considering above points in view, the present field investigation was proposed to quantify the optimum dose of fertilizers under irrigated conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at the College Farm within the Mahanandi campus of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University during the *rabi* season of 2021-22. This location is positioned at 15.51⁰ N latitude, 78.61⁰ E longitude, and has an elevation of 233.48 meters above sea level. It falls within the Scarce Rainfall Zone of Andhra Pradesh and according to Troll's classification, is categorized as Semi-Arid Tropics (SAT).

The experimental field was arranged in a randomized block design, featuring ten treatments and was replicated three times

Table 1. Treatment details:

T ₁ :	20 kg N basal + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ (RDF)
T ₂ :	10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₃ :	10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₄ :	10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₅ :	15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₆ :	15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₇ :	15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₈ :	20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₉ :	20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal
T ₁₀ :	20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal

The experimental field's soil was sandy loam texture, being neutral in pH (7.33). It had low levels of organic carbon (0.49 %), and available nitrogen (258 kg ha⁻¹), medium availability of phosphorus (49 kg ha⁻¹), and high levels of available potassium (584 kg ha⁻¹). The chickpea variety used was NBeG-3, with a growth duration of 90-100 days, sown at a

spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm. Nitrogen, and phosphorus were applied to all plots using urea and single superphosphate, respectively. The nitrogen, allocated according to the treatments, was applied in two equal splits. Half of the nitrogen was used as a basal fertilizer at sowing, while the other half was side-dressed near the crop rows using furrows 30 days after sowing. The entire dose of single superphosphate was applied as a basal fertilizer at three levels (50, 60, and 70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹).

“Seed and haulm yields were measured from the net plot. The harvest index is defined by the ratio of grain yield to total biological yield, as reported by Donald in 1962. NPK uptake was calculated by multiplying the NPK content by the corresponding dry matter production, and expressed in kg ha⁻¹. Nutrient use efficiency is defined as seed yield produced per unit of total nutrients supply”(Sowers *et al.*,1994).

The critical difference was correlated at 5 per cent level of significance to compare different treatment means as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). “The data were analyzed statically using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique with the MSTAT-C computer software. Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was employed for mean comparison” (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield attributes

3.1.1 Seed Yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉) resulted in a significantly higher seed yield (1346.1 kg ha⁻¹) of chickpea and was at par with T₁₀(1308.9 kg ha⁻¹). Lower seed yield (783.5 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂) followed by T₃(841.0 kg ha⁻¹).

Higher fertilizer doses increased nutrient availability, boosting chickpea yield through improved growth, more pods and seeds per plant, and higher seed weight, ultimately enhancing overall grain yield significantly. Similar kind of results were obtained Das *et al.* (2016), Kumar *et al.*, (2016), Singh (2017), Yadav *et al.*, (2017) and Kumawat *et al.*, (2020).

3.1.2 Haulm Yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Significantly higher haulm yield (1352.7 kg ha⁻¹) of chickpea was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀) and was at par with T₉(1351.3 kg ha⁻¹). Lower haulm yield (950.7 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂) followed by T₃(963.9 kg ha⁻¹), and T₁(971.2 kg ha⁻¹).

The application of higher fertilizer doses, which resulted in increased haulm yield, may be attributed to the sufficient supply of nitrogen and phosphorus, leading to enhanced vegetative growth with taller plants, and greater dry matter accumulation. Similar kind of results were obtained by Kumar *et al.*, (2016), Yadav *et al.*, (2017), Nawange *et al.* (2018) and Singh *et al.*, (2018).

3.1.3 Harvest Index

The harvest index in chickpea was not significantly affected by the different levels of fertilizer application. Higher harvest index (49.9 %) of chickpea was recorded in the treatment 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and lower harvest index (45.2 %) was recorded in 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂). Similar kinds of results were obtained by Neenu *et al.*, (2014), Singh (2017) and Yadav *et al.*, (2017).

Table 2. Seed yield, haulm yield and harvest index as influenced by levels of fertilizers

Treatments	Seed yield (kg ha⁻¹)	Haulm yield (kg ha⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
T ₁ : 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ (RDF)	883.6	971.2	47.6
T ₂ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	783.5	950.7	45.2
T ₃ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	841.0	963.9	46.6
T ₄ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1052.1	1092.5	49.0
T ₅ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1059.8	1185.0	47.0
T ₆ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1120.3	1218.7	47.8
T ₇ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1119.3	1203.6	48.2
T ₈ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1066.4	1201.5	47.0
T ₉ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1346.1	1351.3	49.9
T ₁₀ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	1308.9	1352.7	49.2
S.Em_±	52.44	39.89	1.85
CD (P=0.05)	157.01	119.43	NS

3.2 NUTRIENT UPTAKE

3.2.1 N Uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

In seed, significantly higher uptake of nitrogen (43.91 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and was at par with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀) (42.66 kg ha⁻¹), and lowest nitrogen uptake was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂) (23.24 kg ha⁻¹).

In haulm, significantly higher uptake of nitrogen (30.01 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and was at par with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀) (29.82 kg ha⁻¹), and lowest nitrogen uptake was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁) (18.66 kg ha⁻¹).

3.3.2 P Uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

In seed, significantly higher uptake of phosphorus (16.59 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀), and was at par with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉) (16.44 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest phosphorus uptake was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂) (9.13 kg ha⁻¹), and was on par with T₃ (10.05 kg ha⁻¹) and T₁ (10.38 kg ha⁻¹).

In haulm, significantly higher uptake of phosphorus (2.89 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and was at par with T₁₀ (2.74 kg ha⁻¹), T₈ (2.58 kg ha⁻¹), T₇ (2.56 kg ha⁻¹), and T₆ (2.40 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest phosphorus uptake was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁) (1.97 kg ha⁻¹).

3.3.3 K Uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

In seed, significantly higher uptake of potassium (15.63 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and was at par with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀) (15.44 kg ha⁻¹), and lowest potassium uptake was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂) (9.44 kg ha⁻¹).

In haulm, significantly higher uptake of potassium (6.06 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and was at par with T₇ (5.83 kg ha⁻¹),

T₆(5.36 kg ha⁻¹),and T₈(5.35 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest potassium uptake was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁) (3.98 kg ha⁻¹).

Higher doses of fertilizers resulted in increased nutrient uptake in both the seed, and haulm of chickpea. This may be attributed to improved root proliferation and nodulation, which enhance the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Similar kind of results were obtained by Das *et al.*, (2016), Rani and Krishna (2016), Balai *et al.*, (2017), Yadav *et al.* (2017), Singh *et al.* (2018), and Kumawat *et al.*, (2020)

3.3 NUTRIENT USE EFFICIENCY

Significantly higher nitrogen use efficiency (52.6 %) was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₄), and lower was recorded with T₆ (26.7). Significantly higher phosphorus use efficiency (22.4 %) was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₉), and was at par with T₈ (21.3 %), and T₅ (21.2 %) lower was recorded with T₆ (26.7), and significantly lower was recorded with T₃ (14.0 %) followed by T₄ (15.0 %). These results are similar with the findings of kumare *et al.*, (2011), who also observed reduction in efficiency of nutrients with increase in their application rate.

Table 3. Nutrient uptake (N, P and K uptake) as influenced by levels of fertilizers

Treatments	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	Seed	Haulm	Seed	Haulm	Seed	Haulm
T ₁ : 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ (RDF)	26.83	18.66	10.38	1.97	10.30	3.98
T ₂ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	23.24	19.33	9.13	2.12	9.44	4.40
T ₃ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	25.77	20.34	10.05	2.12	10.38	4.01
T ₄ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	32.52	22.19	12.18	2.08	14.41	4.69
T ₅ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	32.81	24.56	12.65	2.11	13.80	4.99
T ₆ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	35.19	25.53	13.55	2.40	12.42	5.36
T ₇ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	35.65	25.10	13.76	2.56	13.93	5.83
T ₈ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	34.75	25.75	13.70	2.58	13.38	5.35
T ₉ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	43.91	30.01	16.44	2.89	15.63	6.06
T ₁₀ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	42.66	29.82	16.59	2.74	15.44	4.86
S.Em_±	1.87	1.31	0.86	0.18	1.08	0.34
CD (P=0.05)	5.61	3.90	2.56	0.55	3.22	1.03

Table 4. Nutrient use efficiency as influenced by levels of fertilizers

Treatments	NUE (kg/kg N)	PUE (kg/kg P)
T ₁ : 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal (RDF)	44.2	17.7
T ₂ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	39.2	15.7
T ₃ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	42.1	14.0
T ₄ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	52.6	15.0
T ₅ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	35.3	21.2
T ₆ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	37.3	18.7
T ₇ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	37.3	16.0
T ₈ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	26.7	21.3
T ₉ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	33.7	22.4
T ₁₀ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	32.7	18.7
S.Em_±	1.9	1.0
CD (P=0.05)	5.6	2.8

3.4 Post-harvest soil nutrient status

3.4.1 Available N (kg ha⁻¹)

Soil available nitrogen after harvest of the crop was not significantly affected by the levels of fertilizer application. However slight increase (264.3 kg ha⁻¹) in available N was observed with application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀), and minimum soil available N was observed with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₄) (251.0 kg ha⁻¹). Similar kind of results were obtained by Rani and Krishna (2016).

3.4.2 Available P₂O₅(kg ha⁻¹)

Soil available P₂O₅ after harvest of the crop was not significantly affected by the levels of fertilizer application. However slight increase (54.3 kg ha⁻¹) in available P₂O₅ was recorded with application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁₀), and minimum soil available P₂O₅ was recorded with 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₂) (47.7 kg ha⁻¹). Similar kind of results were obtained by Rani and Krishna (2016).

3.4.3 Available K₂O (kg ha⁻¹)

Soil available K₂O after harvest of the crop was not significantly affected by the various levels of fertilizer application. However, slight increase (578.0 kg ha⁻¹) in available K₂O was recorded with application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₈), and minimum soil available K₂O was recorded with 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P₂O₅ basal (T₁) (566.7 kg ha⁻¹). Similar kind of results were obtained by Rani and Krishna (2016).

Table 5. Post-harvest soil nutrient status (available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O) as influenced by levels of fertilizers

Treatments	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : 20 kg N basal + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal (RDF)	254.0	48.9	567
T ₂ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	253.0	47.7	577
T ₃ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	252.0	48.2	578
T ₄ : 10 kg N basal + 10 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	251.0	51.4	576
T ₅ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	255.7	50.2	569
T ₆ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	254.7	53.3	574
T ₇ : 15 kg N basal + 15 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	259.0	53.3	573

T ₈ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	258.3	52.2	578
T ₉ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	263.4	53.0	576
T ₁₀ : 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ basal	264.2	54.3	571
S.Em_±	4.85	2.01	5.08
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the above investigation, it is concluded that application of 20 kg N basal + 20 kg N split + 60 kg P₂O₅ basal is the optimal fertilizer level to obtain higher yield, and higher nutrient uptake in scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh. The split application of nitrogen minimized losses, thereby enhancing chickpea growth, and yield. Additionally, this practice promoted long-term improvements in soil fertility and overall soil health.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

REFERENCES

1. Balai K, Jajoria M, Verma R, DeewanP,BairwaSK. Nutrient content, uptake, quality of chickpea and fertility status of soil as influenced by fertilization of phosphorus and zinc. *J PharmacognPhytochem.* 2017;6(1):392-398.
2. Das SK, Biswas B, Jana K. Effect of farmyard manure, phosphorus, and sulphur on yield parameters, yield, nodulation, nutrient uptake and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *J. appl. nat. sci.*2016;8(2):545-549.
3. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research.*International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines. 1984; pp304-305.
4. KishorK, David J, Tiwari S, Singh A, Rai BS. Nutritional composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) milk. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*2017;5(4):1941-1944.
5. Kumar D, Rakshit A, Parewa HP, Kumar P. Nutrient use efficiency in different crops – A review. *J. Environ. Econ.* 2011;29(4A):2098-2105.
6. Kumar S, Tripathi DK, Bharose R, Kumar M, Kumar R. Effect of different fertility level and micronutrients on nodulation and nutrient uptake by chickpea. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*2016;11(1):62-66.
7. Kumawat N, Yadav RK, Singh M, Dudwe TS, Tomar IS. Effect of phosphorus and bioinoculants and their residual effect on succeeding chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*2020;90(2):80-85.
8. MalekianB,Parsa M, Vessal S,Khorassani, R.Split application of nitrogen fertilizer and inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhiza, and *Rhizobium ciceri* improve grain quality of chickpea. *Crop, Forage & Turfgrass Management.*2019;5(1):1-9.
9. Nawange DD, Verma H,Hemlata V. Growth and yield performance of kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes under different planting geometry and fertility levels in Vindhya PlateauRegion. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*2018;10(5):5291- 529
10. Neenu S, Ramesh K, Ramana S, Biswas AK, Subba Rao A. Growth and yield of different varieties of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by the phosphorus nutrition under rainfed conditions on vertisols. *International Journal of Bio-Resource and Stress Management.* 2014;5(1):53-57.
11. Panse VG,Sukhatme PV. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers.* Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication.1985; pp87-89.
12. Rani BS,Krishna TG.Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties to nitrogen on a calcareous vertisols. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*2016;50(3):278-281.

13. Schulze J, Temple SJ, Beschow H, Vance CP. Nitrogen fixation by white lupin under phosphorous deficiency. *Annals of Botany*. 2006; 98:731-740.
14. Singh G, Sekhon HS, Kaur H. Effect of farmyard manure, vermicompost and chemical nutrients on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Res.* 2012;7(2):93-99.
15. Singh R, Singh D, Pratap T, Abhinav Kumar S, Singh H, Dubey. Effect of different levels of phosphorus, sulphur, and biofertilizers inoculation on nutrient content and uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Chem. Stud.* 2018;6(6):2574-2579.
16. Singh S. Effect of irrigation schedules and different levels of nutrients on growth, yield, and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Progressive Research - An International Journal*. 2017;12(1): 91-95.
17. www.des.ap.gov.in 2023-24.
18. www.indiastatagri.com 2023-24.
19. Yadav SL, Chahal VP, Yadav A, Singh RK, Mishra D, Kumar P, Kumar R. Response of phosphorus doses, and weed control methods on nutrient uptake in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017; SP1:738-742.