

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_120832
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding Peer Sexual Norms, Attitudes, and Pressure: Correlates of Risky Sexual Behaviours among Secondary School Adolescents in Anambra State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it addresses a critical aspect of sports physiotherapy by evaluating the effectiveness of corrective exercise strategies for athletes with over pronated feet, a common condition that can affect performance and increase injury risk. The study's findings provide robust evidence supporting the use of tailored corrective exercises to improve specific athletic skills, thereby contributing to the optimization of training protocols and injury prevention strategies. I appreciate the manuscript's clear methodology and statistically significant results, which enhance its credibility and practical relevance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The specific exercises used in the corrective exercise protocol are not described in detail, limiting practical application.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its adherence to established research methodologies and rigorous data analysis. The authors have employed appropriate statistical techniques to ensure the reliability and validity of their findings, which strengthens the conclusions drawn from the study. Additionally, the manuscript references current literature and incorporates relevant theoretical frameworks, thereby situating its research within the broader scientific discourse. Overall, the thoroughness of the research design and the clarity of the results contribute to the manuscript's scientific robustness and technical soundness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Shanmuganath
Department, University & Country	Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, India