

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_120832</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Understanding Peer Sexual Norms, Attitudes, and Pressure: Correlates of Risky Sexual Behaviours among Secondary School Adolescents in Anambra State</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article deals with a crucial public health issue in a country where adolescents are numerically more important. In the current context, adolescent behaviour is beyond the control of the family and can only be better understood by looking at the external environment. The article therefore focuses on the use of composite indices, which provide a wealth of information for understanding the sexual behaviour of adolescents.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically well structured, presenting the problem, objectives, research questions and hypotheses, which have also been tested. The results have been discussed. However, improvements need to be made to enhance the quality of the article. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Explain why the analyses were not conducted according to gender</li> <li>- The sampling strategy should be better described to help assess the representativeness of the sample</li> <li>- Who are these participants, in terms of age and gender? What process was used to obtain consent for the survey? If younger teenagers (aged 10-14) took part in the survey, who gave parental consent?</li> <li>- It is important to know the socio-demographic profile of survey participants. It is also important to present the breakdown of respondents according to the different variables used to calculate each index.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I'm a francophone, so I'm not in a good position to appreciate this.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Miangotar Yode</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universite De N'djamena, Chad</b>