

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_122783</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sentiment Analysis Techniques: A Comparative Study of Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and Naive Bayes on General English and Nigerian Texts</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Paper</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research paper focuses on adopting and using the Sentiment Analysis Techniques for a journal audience. It details how this tool is utilized in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title accurately reflects the content, explaining how Sentiment Analysis Techniques. The paper includes flowcharts and reports that detail the process.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The writing is clear and straight to the point.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, Manuscript is accurate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article's research methods are meticulously devised and implemented, illustrating a thorough understanding of the topic. The methodology provides a comprehensive account of the procedures and tools used, guaranteeing the research's validity and reliability. Ethical considerations are explicitly mentioned, indicating the proper adherence to protocols. All in all, the research methods seem sound and appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are accurate.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English used is acceptable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Praveen Kumar Tammana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>USA</b>