

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_122783
Title of the Manuscript:	Sentiment Analysis Techniques: A Comparative Study of Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and Naive Bayes on General English and Nigerian Texts
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	YES	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Abstract - Good but missing proposed methodology. Author add to proposed methodology in abstract 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	YES	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Abstract - Good but missing proposed methodology. Author add to proposed methodology in abstract ● Introduction- Good but missing general diagrams. Author need to be add more diagrams in introduction part. ● Theoretical Analysis - Good but missing general diagrams. Author need to be add more diagrams here. ● Methodology - Author must be represent the graph in proper way. ● Result - Author must be represent the graph and tables in proper way. ● Conclusion - Good but missing comparison of existing and proposed method 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Bagath Basha
Department, University & Country	Kommuri Pratap Reddy Institute of Technology, India