

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_122737
Title of the Manuscript:	Incorporating Privacy by Design principles in the modification of AI systems in preventing breaches across multiple environments including public cloud, private cloud, and on-prem
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable but much lengthy could be more effective to revise the title suggestion for new title is "Incorporating Privacy by Design in AI Systems to Prevent Breaches Across various Cloud Environments"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not clearly clarifying the research gaps on which the author is working. As well as the abstract mentions a comprehensive review of existing case studies and thematic analysis but doesn't clarify whether these are part of the original research or secondary sources. Authors need to Specify whether these are part of the empirical study or an additional review. For example, Authors can write "This study also reviews existing case studies and performs thematic analysis to supplement the empirical findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is satisfactory but need more sections to be added or may be need separation like authors needs to add Research Problem, Key Findings etc.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The need of detection and mitigation of privacy breaches is arising day by day so we need different AI based methods for early detection and mitigation of these threats. This article is technically sound but need many areas to improve authors needs to provide concrete evidence that their proposed method of Privacy by Design is more effective and better than all other provided solutions for detection and mitigation of privacy breaches in different cloud environments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Need to work on the formatting of the paper and improvements required in results discussion section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muzzamil Mustafa
Department, University & Country	National College of Business Administration and Economics, Pakistan