

**Review Form 3**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEAI_123081</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Assessing the Operational Constraints of Direct Benefit Transfers: An Empirical Investigation of PM-KISAN scheme of India</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | I like the manuscript because assessing constraints help researchers and policymakers evaluate the applicability of the programme or project. |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Title is suitable as it summarizes the main idea.   |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Abstract could be more compressive.   |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes   |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is scientifically robust.  |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Add references that are recent.   |  |
| Minor REVISION comments<br><br>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | Yes, it is  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                      |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Sheema Khan</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>SKUAST, India</b> |