

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123005
Title of the Manuscript:	Volatility Spillover Effect of NCDEX Spot and Futures Prices in Spices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important because it made us to understand the spot and futures prices in spices on the floor of the National Commodity & Derivatives Exchange Limited (NCDEX) in India Exchanges. But literature is not well researched.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article is suitable for publication but these are not in the manuscript: 1. Literature Review 2. Empirical Review 3. Theoretical Review 4. The gaps in the literature	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract of the article is sufficient and explained the three tested variables Viz: jeera (cumin), turmeric and coriander and the outcome of the findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are not well aligned e.g. Introduction and Methodology, Results and Discussion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The tables of the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM), and Exponential generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (EGARCH) should be under their respective headings and explained. Also, Model Specification, and A Priori Expectation are not well laid out. OLS estimation is missing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are only three references in the manuscript. Hence, it is not well researched.	
Minor REVISION comments	The use of English Language quality of the manuscript is fair.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be reworked and incorporate the identified corrections. Also, sections like Research Objectives, Research Questions, Statement of the problem, Least Square Estimate, Recommendations and Ethical consideration should be included in the final draft.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abiola Olawale M
Department, University & Country	Nigeria