

Review Form 3

JournalName:	JournalofExperimentalAgricultureInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEAI_122653
TitleoftheManuscript:	Estimationofavoidableyieldlossesduetopestcomplexincowpea[Vignaungiculata(L.)Walp.]
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cowpea is one of the most important pulse crop and play pivotal role in the diet of common people. But its production is threatened by many sucking pests. This work may effort the growers regarding efficient control potential against sucking pests of cowpea. The authors made good efforts to writing up the MS. Though it is not quite a novel study but has the merit of testing the insecticides at different stages of plants which appears interesting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggested for modifications as stated in body.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Revise entire MS. Discard junk literature on behalf of smart presentation. Strengthen discussion by adding with proper logic on the relevant results only.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Should be revised. Some references are found old which should be replaced. The authors should follow the style of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The construction of sentences were found incorrect throughout the entire MS. I attempted to correct some of them but other confusing statements need to be reviewed by the authors.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript may be accepted as full length article in the JEA after proper revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alamgir Hossain
Department, University & Country	Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Bangladesh