

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122099
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic analysis to estimate components of Genetic Variances in Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For the scientific community this article will surely provide the comprehensive knowledge regarding the genetic variances in Indian Mustard. I like this manuscript as it provides variety of knowledge regarding hybridisation in mustard.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable but it would be better if the place of research is mentioned in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract portion is comprehensive. No need to delete or add in abstract portion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Better to write sections as 1,2,3 and subsections as 2.1 or 2.2 etc.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction portion is not sufficient 2. Keywords should be in capital letter 3. Better to mention number of plots clearly 4. Better to mention about the software used for data analysis This article is technically sound and better to make corrections in above mentioned points.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references need to be recent better to work upon it. Somewhere anonymous citation and referencing is written, better to make clear on citation and referencing. Don't use anonymous references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and quality of English is suitable for the scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhuvan Joshi
Department, University & Country	Agriculture and Forestry University, India