

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121633
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus (<i>Gladiolus grandiflorus</i> L.) cv. Nova Lux”
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	To study the effect of plant growth regulation and micronutrients on Vegetative Characters of Gladiolus is very important. Now a days the shortage of food in the world is happening year to year. To eliminate food scarcity, this kind of research is needed to increase productivity. This research aimed to identify the effect of plant growth; if we know the influence of plant growth, we can optimize it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is no abstract include in the word document	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No The method and material part is not properly structure	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study of plant growth regulator and micronutrients on Vegetative Characters of Gladiolus is very important. However many scholars working on it. The author need to show the state of the art or the gab of the area. The abstract of the research should be incorporated or included in the main document.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not properly cited and not enough	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Partly	
Optional/General comments	The introduction part should be clearly stated the previous study and the research gapes. The author must show that the research gap. For each statement in the literature review should be cite by updated references. The material and method also not stated clearly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kedir Yesuf Abdu
Department, University & Country	Wollo University, Ethiopia