Effect of different seed priming treatments on seed quality components of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)

Abstract

The effects of different seed priming treatments, namely tap water, KNO_3 2.5%, Thiourea 1000 ppm, $CaCl_2$ 2%, $Nacl_2$ 2%, $ZnSo_4$ 1%, KH_2PO_4 1% and Salicylic acid 100 ppm solutions, on yield attributes, seed yield and economic returns of Barley cv. K-1055 and K-409 in Factorial Completely Randomized Block Design with four replications were investigated during 2022-23 and 2023-24 at the laboratory of Department of Seed Science and Technology, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur. Analysis of variance revealed that the all recorded seed quality parameters were significantly affected by various seed priming treatments. Priming with KNO_3 @ 2.5 %showed maximum 1000 seed weight, standard germination %, seedling length, seedling root length, seedling shoot length, seedling dry weight, seed vigour index-I, seed vigour index-II.

Key words:-Barley,Priming, KNO_3,Seed quality

Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*L.) is a versatile cereal grain worldwide, ranking fourth in acreage and production after wheat, rice and maize**(USDA 2023)**.Barley belongs to the grass family Poaceae, tribe *Triticeae* and genus *Hordeum*, comprising nearly 350 species. Out of which *Hordeum* consists of about 32 species including the wild and cultivated one. Barley is a diploid with 2n=14 chromosomes.

The production of barley worldwide was estimated at around 142.22 million metric tons. Globally, the top barley-producing countries are Russia, Australia, Canada and United Kingdom. Russia shares 13% of the world's total barley production with an area 9 million hectares and production 19.03 million metric tons. Australia shares 8% of world's total barley production with the area 3.2 million hectares and production of 11.5 million Seed quality plays a significant role in determining the productivity and sustainability of agricultural practices. The quality of seeds can greatly affect the overall success of a crop. High-quality seeds are essential for ensuring a healthy and vigorous crop that is able to gives better growth and yield. Seeds that are of poor quality may lead to low germination rates, weak plants, and reduced yields.

High-quality seeds are crucial for effective seed production. Seed priming techniques, such as hydropriming, osmo-priming, thermo-priming, halo-priming, magnetic-priming, and nano-priming, can enhance germination and establishment in various crops like maize, wheat, rice, and canola **(Basra et al., 2005).** This age-old method improves germination and seedling emergence, resulting in better stand establishment and yield **(Dutta, 2018).**

Priming offers several advantages, including rapid and uniform germination, increased nutrient uptake, relief from phytochrome-induced photo- and thermo-dormancy, expanded germination temperature range, improved water use efficiency, and synchronous crop maturity**.** By reducing imbibitions time **(Brocklehurst et al., 2008)** and promoting metabolite production and pre-germinative enzyme activation **(Hussain et al., 2016),** seed metric tons. Canada shares 6% of world's total barley production with an area of 2.7 million hectares and production of 9.6 million metric tons **(USDA 2023).**

Barley is use as a source of fermentable material for beer and certain distilled beverages and as a component of various health foods. Barley grains are commonly made into malt in a traditional and ancient method of preparation. In general, barley is mainly classified as six-rowed and two-rowed barleys based on arrangement of kernels. Seed is an important component and the quality seed plays a crucial role in agricultural production as well as in the national economy. Seed deterioration starts once the seed attains physiological maturity in the field. Seed deterioration will lead to some of physiological changes like drop of germinability, decrease in mean germination time and loss of vigour.

priming ensures uniform germination and enhances crop establishment.

Seed priming has also been investigated as a presowing or mid-storage treatment for seed batches that have lost vigour due to insufficient storage conditions **(Pan and Basu, 1985; Singh et al., 2001).** Various studies have been carried out on seed priming and have shown positive results over non-primed seeds, though the methods are not widely used. The behaviour of seed with different priming treatments depends on various physiological and biochemical factors. There is ample scope for investigating mechanism involved behind the beneficial and adverse effect impacts of seed priming on seed quality.

Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out to determine the effect of various seed priming treatments on Barley seed quality parameters, during 2022-23 and 2023-24 at the laboratory of Department of seed science and Technology ,Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P. The experiment comprised of two Barley cultivars *viz*, cv. K-409 (V_1) and K-1055(V_2). Both varieties were primed with control (T_0), tap water(T_1), KNO_3 2.5% (T_2), Thiourea 1000 ppm(T_3), $CaCl_2$ 2%(T_4), $Nacl_2$ 2%(T_5), $ZnSo_4$ 1%(T_6), KH_2PO_4 1% (T_7), Salicylic

acid 100 ppm(T_8) solutions.For test weight of seed 1000 seeds in four replications were counted manually from seed lot of each treatment and weight in (g) up to two decimal places with the help of the digital balance. Germination test was carried out by following the procedureout lined by ISTA rules. Four replications of 100 seeds each for every treatment were uniformly placed on moist germination paper and rolled with butter paper to prevent moisture evaporation during test period. Samples were placed in plastic tray in stand upright position and these trays were shifted to seed germinator maintained at 20±2°C temperature and 90±3 per cent relative humidity. The sample was allowed to germinate for the prescribed period. Germination percentage was recorded on 8^{th} days by counting normal seedlings. On 8^{th} day of germination, ten seedlings were selected randomly from each replication and seedling length, seedling root length and seedling shoot length was measured in cm and averaged. Randomly taken ten normal seedlings which were used for recording the seedling measurement were kept in beakers and dried for 24 hours in a hot air oven maintained at 100^0C temperature. These dried seedlings were removed and cooled in a desicator for 30 minutes. Then the weight was recorded and express in grams. The seedling vigour index-I was calculated by multiplying the per cent seed germination and total seedling length (cm) of all treatments separately. The seedling vigour index-II was determined by multiplying seed germination percentage and seedling dry weight (g).Formula suggested by **Abdul Baki and Anderson (1973)** as below.

Vigour Index-I = Germination Percentage x Seedling Length (cm)

Vigour index-II = Germination Percentage x Seedling dry weight (g)

Results and Discussion

The data presented in table-1 to table- 8 revealed that both varieties of Barley when treated with various seed priming treatments showed significant effects on seed quality parameters.

Variety K-1055 exhibited significantly greater1000 seed weight (42.71 g), standard germination %(92.33 %), seedling length(23.74 cm), seedling root length(10.20 cm), seedling shoot length(13.54 cm), seedling dry weight (0.229 g), seed vigour index-I (2194.87 cm), seed vigour index-II (21.20 cm).as compared to variety K-409 that may be due to differential response of variety.Similar results have been reported by **Afzal *et al.* (2006),Bakht *et al*(2011*).*,andSiddique and Bose (2015).**

Pooled data of priming treatments also presented in Table-1 to table-8 revealed that the among the priming treatments, priming with KNO_3 @ 2.5 % (T_2) was significantly superior in terms of1000 seed weight (43.85 g), standard germination % (95.31 %), seedling length (25.88 cm), seedling root length(11.09 cm), seedling shoot length(14.79 cm), seedling dry weight (0.239 g), seed vigour index-I (2466.52 cm), seed vigour index-II (22.82 cm) followed by priming with thiourea @ 1000 ppm (T_3) while all the seed quality characters were minimum in control(T_0). These results are in conformity with**Ali *et al.* (2020), El Tayeb (2006), Naz and Shagufta (2021), Khokhar *et al.* (2016).**

Table-1 to table -8 revealed that theThe interaction of barley varieties with priming treatments were showed significant improvement in 1000 seed weight, seedling length, seedling root length, seedling shoot length on pooled data basis of both years, maximum 1000 seed weight (44.46 g) was recorded in variety K-1055 with priming treatment KNO_3 @ 2.5 % ($V_2 \times T_2$) followed by variety K-1055 with priming treatment thiourea @ 1000 ppm. Maximum seedling length (26.13 cm), seedling root length(11.23 cm), seedling shoot length (14.90 cm) was recorded in variety K-1055 with priming treatment KNO_3 @ 2.5 % ($V_2 \times T_2$) followed by variety K-409 with priming treatment KNO_3 @ 2.5 % ($V_1 \times T_2$). In terms of standard germination percentage, seedling dry weight, seed vigour index-I and seed vigour index-II the interaction of barley varieties with priming treatments were showed non significant improvement. However, maximum standard germination percentage (95.75 %), seedling dry weight(0.241g), seed vigour index-I (2502.38) and seed vigour index-II (23.04) were recorded in variety K-1055 with priming treatment KNO_3 @ 2.5 % ($V_2 \times T_2$) followed by variety K-409 with priming treatment KNO_3 @ 2.5 % ($V_1 \times T_2$). All the recorded seed quality parameters found minimum in variety K-409 without priming ($V_1 \times T_0$).findings are in conformity with the results of**Moradi Dezfuli*et al.*.(2008), Jalal *et al.* (2014), Murungu (2011) .**

Table 1- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on 1000 seed weight (g) in Barley varietiesK-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean
T_0	40.12	41.02	40.57	40.78	41.21	40.99	40.45	41.12	40.78
T_1	40.80	41.47	41.14	41.12	41.69	41.41	40.96	41.58	41.27
T_2	43.11	44.05	43.58	43.37	44.87	44.12	43.24	44.46	43.85

T_3	42.51	43.80	43.16	42.58	44.27	43.72	42.54	44.03	43.28
T_4	41.74	42.24	41.99	41.87	42.64	42.26	41.81	42.44	42.12
T_5	41.15	41.72	41.43	41.26	42.23	41.74	41.20	41.97	41.59
T_6	42.34	43.18	42.76	42.67	43.46	43.06	42.50	43.32	42.91
T_7	42.19	42.28	42.23	42.14	42.61	42.37	42.16	42.44	42.30
T_8	41.95	42.64	42.29	42.46	42.87	42.66	42.20	42.75	42.48
Mean	**41.77**	**42.49**	**42.13**	**42.03**	**42.94**	**42.48**	**41.90**	**42.71**	**42.30**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.04**	**0.08**		**0.006**	**0.012**		**0.024**	**0.048**	
T	**0.09**	**0.18**		**0.013**	**0.026**		**0.051**	**0.103**	
V×T	**0.13**	**0.25**		**0.018**	**0.037**		**0.072**	**0.145**	
CV(%)	**2.29**			**2.31**			**2.30**		

Table 2- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on standard germination % in Barley varietiesK-409 and K-1055

Treatments	**2022-23**			**2023-24**			**Pooled**		
	V_1	**V_2**	**Mean**	**V_1**	**V_2**	**Mean**	**V_1**	**V_2**	**Mean**
T_0	70.38 (88.75)	70.84 (89.25)	70.61 (89.00)	70.83 (89.25)	71.79 (90.25)	71.31 (89.75)	70.60 (89.00)	71.31 (89.75)	70.95 (89.38)
T_1	71.08 (89.50)	71.89 (90.25)	71.44 (89.88)	71.30 (89.75)	72.26 (90.75)	71.78 (90.25)	71.19 (89.63)	72.02 (90.50)	71.60 (90.06)
T_2	76.41 (94.50)	77.42 (95.25)	76.92 (94.88)	77.39 (95.25)	78.82 (96.25)	95.75 (95.75)	76.90 (94.88)	78.12 (95.75)	77.51 (95.31)
T_3	75.52 (93.75)	76.12 (94.25)	75.82 (94.00)	76.10 (94.25)	77.39 (95.25)	76.74 (94.75)	75.81 (94.00)	76.75 (94.75)	76.28 (94.38)
T_4	72.02 (90.50)	72.78 (91.25)	72.40 (90.88)	72.77 (91.25)	73.28 (91.75)	73.02 (91.50)	72.39 (90.88)	73.03 (91.50)	72.71 (91.19)
T_5	71.54 (90.00)	72.04 (90.50)	71.79 (90.25)	72.02 (90.50)	72.78 (91.25)	72.40 (90.88)	71.78 (90.25)	72.41 (90.88)	72.09 (90.56)
T_6	73.81 (92.25)	74.91 (93.25)	74.36 (92.75)	74.35 (92.75)	74.91 (93.25)	74.63 (93.00)	74.08 (92.50)	74.91 (93.25)	74.94 (92.88)
T_7	72.28 (90.75)	73.28 (91.75)	72.78 (91.25)	73.28 (91.75)	73.81 (92.25)	73.54 (92.00)	72.78 (91.25)	73.54 (92.00)	73.16 (91.63)
T_8	72.77 (91.25)	74.08 (92.50)	73.42 (91.88)	73.81 (92.25)	74.35 (92.75)	74.08 (92.50)	73.29 (91.75)	74.21 (92.63)	73.75 (92.19)
Mean	**72.87 (91.25)**	**73.70 (92.03)**	**73.28 (91.64)**	**73.54 (91.89)**	**74.38 (92.64)**	**73.95 (92.26)**	**73.20 (91.57)**	**74.04 (92.33)**	**73.62 (91.95)**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.21**	**0.42**		**0.14**	**0.29**		**0.17**	**0.35**	
T	**0.45**	**0.90**		**0.31**	**0.62**		**0.38**	**0.76**	
V×T	**0.63**	**NS**		**0.44**	**NS**		**0.53**	**NS**	
CV(%)	**2.11**			**2.16**			**2.14**		

(The data presented in parentheses indicate transformed back values)

Table 3- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on seedling length (cm) in Barley varietiesK-409 and K-1055

Treatments	**2022-23**			**2023-24**			**Pooled**		
	V_1	**V_2**	**Mean**	**V_1**	**V_2**	**Mean**	**V_1**	**V_2**	**Mean**
T_0	20.87	21.52	21.19	21.20	21.92	21.56	21.04	21.72	21.38
T_1	21.69	22.11	21.90	22.28	22.64	22.46	21.99	22.37	22.18

T_2	25.25	25.82	25.53	25.99	26.45	26.22	25.62	26.13	25.88
T_3	24.07	24.72	24.40	24.94	25.56	25.25	24.51	25.14	24.82
T_4	22.27	23.06	22.66	22.90	23.62	23.26	22.58	23.34	22.96
T_5	21.87	22.36	22.11	22.50	23.02	22.76	22.18	22.69	22.44
T_6	23.69	24.24	23.96	24.50	25.06	24.78	24.10	24.65	24.37
T_7	22.65	23.39	23.02	23.56	23.84	23.70	23.11	23.61	23.36
T_8	23.05	23.65	23.35	24.11	24.38	24.24	23.58	24.01	23.80
Mean	**22.82**	**23.43**	**23.12**	**23.55**	**24.05**	**23.80**	**23.19**	**23.74**	**23.46**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.011**	**0.022**		**0.006**	**0.013**		**0.009**	**0.018**	
T	**0.023**	**0.047**		**0.014**	**0.027**		**0.019**	**0.037**	
V×T	**0.03**	**0.066**		**0.019**	**0.039**		**0.026**	**0.053**	
CV(%)	**5.85**			**6.18**			**6.02**		

Table 4- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on seedling root length (cm) in Barley varietiesK-409 and K-1055

Treatments	**2022-23**			**2023-24**			**Pooled**		
	V_1	**V_2**	**Mean**	**V_1**	**V_2**	**Mean**	**V_1**	**V_2**	**Mean**
T_0	8.55	9.01	8.78	8.73	9.16	8.94	8.64	9.08	8.86
T_1	9.12	9.37	9.24	9.56	9.67	9.61	9.34	9.52	9.43
T_2	10.69	10.98	10.83	11.21	11.48	11.35	10.95	11.23	11.09
T_3	10.16	10.60	10.38	10.83	11.14	10.98	10.50	10.87	10.68
T_4	9.42	9.89	9.66	9.78	10.24	10.01	9.60	10.07	9.83
T_5	9.27	9.64	9.45	9.63	9.87	9.75	9.45	9.76	9.60
T_6	10.09	10.39	10.24	10.64	10.89	10.77	10.37	10.64	10.50
T_7	9.62	10.11	9.86	10.14	10.35	10.24	9.88	10.23	10.05
T_8	9.83	10.23	10.03	10.46	10.61	10.54	10.14	10.42	10.28
Mean	**9.64**	**10.02**	**9.83**	**10.11**	**10.38**	**10.24**	**9.87**	**10.20**	**10.04**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.008**	**0.016**		**0.008**	**0.016**		**0.008**	**0.016**	
T	**0.017**	**0.035**		**0.017**	**0.035**		**0.017**	**0.035**	
V×T	**0.025**	**0.049**		**0.025**	**0.049**		**0.025**	**0.049**	
CV(%)	**6.36**			**7.32**			**6.84**		

Table 5- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on seedling shoot length (cm) in BarleyvarietiesK-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean
T_0	12.32	12.51	12.41	12.47	12.76	12.61	12.39	12.63	12.51
T_1	12.57	12.74	12.65	12.73	12.97	12.85	12.65	12.85	12.75
T_2	14.56	14.84	14.70	14.78	14.97	14.87	14.67	14.90	14.79
T_3	13.91	14.12	14.01	14.11	14.43	14.27	14.01	14.27	14.14
T_4	12.85	13.17	13.01	13.12	13.38	13.25	12.98	13.28	13.13
T_5	12.61	12.72	12.66	12.87	13.15	13.01	12.74	12.93	12.83
T_6	13.61	13.85	13.73	13.86	14.17	14.01	13.73	14.01	13.87
T_7	13.04	13.28	13.16	13.42	13.49	13.45	13.23	13.38	13.30
T_8	13.23	13.42	13.32	13.64	13.77	13.70	13.43	13.59	13.51
Mean	**13.19**	**13.40**	**13.29**	**13.44**	**13.67**	**13.56**	**13.31**	**13.54**	**13.43**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.003**	**0.006**		**0.003**	**0.006**		**0.003**	**0.006**	
T	**0.007**	**0.014**		**0.006**	**0.013**		**0.007**	**0.014**	
V×T	**0.010**	**0.019**		**0.009**	**0.018**		**0.010**	**0.019**	
CV(%)	**5.56**			**5.39**			**5.48**		

Table 6- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on seedling dry weight (g) in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean
T_0	0.216	0.218	0.217	0.217	0.219	0.218	0.216	0.219	0.218
T_1	0.219	0.221	0.220	0.221	0.222	0.222	0.220	0.221	0.221
T_2	0.238	0.240	0.239	0.239	0.241	0.240	0.238	0.241	0.239
T_3	0.235	0.237	0.236	0.237	0.239	0.238	0.236	0.238	0.237
T_4	0.224	0.225	0.225	0.225	0.226	0.226	0.225	0.226	0.225
T_5	0.222	0.223	0.222	0.223	0.225	0.224	0.223	0.224	0.223
T_6	0.231	0.233	0.232	0.234	0.236	0.235	0.232	0.234	0.233
T_7	0.226	0.229	0.228	0.228	0.231	0.229	0.227	0.230	0.228
T_8	0.229	0.231	0.230	0.231	0.234	0.232	0.230	0.233	0.231
Mean	**0.227**	**0.229**	**0.228**	**0.228**	**0.230**	**0.229**	**0.227**	**0.229**	**0.228**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.001**	**0.001**		**0.001**	**0.001**		**0.001**	**0.001**	
T	**0.002**	**0.003**		**0.001**	**0.002**		**0.002**	**0.003**	
V×T	**0.002**	**NS**		**0.002**	**NS**		**0.002**	**NS**	
CV(%)	**3.24**			**3.27**			**3.26**		

Table 7- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on vigour index-I in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean
T_0	1852.06	1920.11	1886.09	1892.46	1977.79	1935.12	1872.26	1948.95	1910.60
T_1	1940.78	1995.03	1967.90	1999.68	2054.15	2026.91	1970.23	2024.59	1997.41
T_2	2385.94	2458.95	2422.44	2475.37	2545.82	2510.59	2430.65	2502.38	2466.52
T_3	2255.97	2329.94	2292.95	2350.62	2434.76	2392.69	2303.29	2382.35	2342.82
T_4	2015.18	2104.68	2059.93	2089.17	2167.39	2128.28	2052.17	2136.04	2094.10
T_5	1968.26	2022.77	1995.51	2036.05	2100.29	2068.17	2002.15	2061.53	2031.84
T_6	2185.08	2259.76	2222.42	2272.63	2336.80	2304.71	2228.85	2298.28	2263.57
T_7	2055.53	2146.42	2100.98	2161.13	2204.66	2182.90	2108.33	2175.54	2141.94
T_8	2103.37	2187.26	2145.32	2223.55	2261.08	2242.32	2163.46	2224.17	2193.82
Mean	**2084.68**	**2158.32**	**2121.50**	**2166.74**	**2231.41**	**2199.08**	**2125.71**	**2194.87**	**2160.29**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**11.94**	**24.05**		**7.64**	**15.370**		**9.79**	**19.71**	
T	**25.34**	**51.02**		**16.22**	**32.610**		**20.78**	**41.81**	
V×T	**35.83**	**NS**		**22.94**	**NS**		**29.38**	**NS**	
CV(%)	**7.98**			**8.34**			**8.16**		

Table 8-Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on vigour index-II in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean	V_1	V_2	Mean
T_0	19.15	19.48	19.31	19.34	19.79	19.57	19.25	19.63	19.44
T_1	19.58	19.92	19.75	19.84	20.15	19.99	19.71	20.04	19.87
T_2	22.47	22.89	22.68	22.74	23.20	22.97	22.60	23.04	22.82
T_3	22.01	22.36	22.19	22.29	22.74	22.52	22.15	22.55	22.35
T_4	20.25	20.55	20.40	20.55	20.71	20.63	20.40	20.63	20.52
T_5	20.00	20.13	20.07	20.16	20.51	20.33	20.08	20.32	20.20
T_6	21.33	21.73	21.53	21.66	21.98	21.82	21.50	21.86	21.68
T_7	20.51	21.03	20.77	20.90	21.34	21.12	20.70	21.19	20.95
T_8	20.85	21.39	21.12	21.27	21.68	21.47	21.06	21.54	21.30
Mean	**20.68**	**21.05**	**20.87**	**20.97**	**21.34**	**21.16**	**20.83**	**21.20**	**21.01**
Factors	**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**		**SE(d)**	**CD 5%**	
V	**0.011**	**0.23**		**0.084**	**0.17**		**0.048**	**0.20**	
T	**0.24**	**0.51**		**0.17**	**0.36**		**0.20**	**0.43**	
V×T	**0.35**	**NS**		**0.25**	**NS**		**0.30**	**NS**	
CV(%)	**5.36**			**5.41**			**5.39**		

Conclusion

The study led us to conclude that the seed priming with KNO_3at a concentration of 2.5 % for a duration of 6 hours significantly enhances the seed quality parameters of Barley. Among the assessed varieties, variety K-1055 performed better, indicating its potential for practical utility at the farmer level

References

Abdul-Baki, AA and JD Anderson. 1973. Vigour determination in soybean by multiple criteria.*Crop Science* **13:**630-633.

Afzal, S., Basra, M.A., Amhad, N., Cheema, M.A., Haq, M.A., Kazmi, M. H and Ifrani, S. (2006). Enhancement of antioxidant defence system induced by hormonal priming. *CerealResearch and communications*, **39** (3):334-342

Ali, L. G., Nulit, R., Ibrahim, M. H., &Yien, C. Y. S. (2020). Enhancement of germination and early seedling growth of rice (Oryza sativa) var. FARO44 by seed priming under normal and drought stressed conditions. *Journal of plant nutrition*, *43*(11), 1579-1593.

Bakht, J., Shafi, M., Jamal, Y., & Sher, H. (2011). Response of maize (Zea mays L.) to seed priming with NaCl and salinity stress. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, ***9*(**1), 252-261**.**

Basra SMA, R Farooq, Tabassam and N Ahmad. 2005. Physiological and biochemical aspects of presowing seed treatments in fine rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Seed Science and Technology* **33:623-628**

Brocklehrust, PA and J Dearman. 2008. Interaction between seed priming treatments and nine seed lots of carrots. II. Seedling emergence and plant growth.*Annals of Applied Biology* **102**:583-593

Dutta P. 2018. Seed priming: New Vistas and Contemporary Perspectives: In: Rakshit A., Singh H. (editors).*Advances in Seed Priming. Singapore: Springer***, pp. 3-22**

El-Tayeb, M. A. (2006). Differential response of sugar beet and barley genotypes to salt stress: growth, osmotic adjustment, and photosynthesis. *Acta AgriculturaeScandinavica, Soil & Plant Science*, 293–300.

Hussain S, F Khan, HA Hussain, L Nile. 2016. Physiological and biochemical mechanism of seed priming-induced chilling tolerance in rice cultivars.*Frontiers inPlant Science* **7:**116-120

Jalal, J., Razieh, K., & Edris, K. (2014). Improving of barley seedling growth by seed priming under water deficit stress. *Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry*, **10**(2), 125-134.

Khokhar, A., Singh, S., Kumar, V., Sharma, S., Bawa, S., Singh, S., ... & Singh, M. (2016). Seed Primingand foliar spray seed priming and foliar spray of thiourea for y of thiourea for improving growth and productivity of rainfed wheat in kandi region of punjandi region of punjandi region of Punjab.

Moradi Dezfuli P., Sharif-zadeh F. and Janmohammadi M. (2008). Influence of priming techniques on seed germination behavior of maize inbred lines (Zea mays L.). ARPN *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*. **3**(3): 22-25

Murungu, F. S. (2011). Effects of seed priming and water potential on seed germination and emergence of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) varieties in laboratory assays and in the field. *African Journal of Biotechnology*.**10**(21): 4365-4371

Naz, S. and S. Perveen. (2021). Response of wheat (Triticum aestivum L. var. Galaxy-2013) to pre-sowing seed treatment with thiourea under drought stress. *Pak. J. Bot.*,**53**(4): 1209-1217.

Pan D and RN Basu. 1985. Mid-storage and presowing seed treatments for lettuce and carrot.*Scientia Horticulturae***25**(1): 11–19.

Siddique, A. and Bose, B. (2015). Effect of Seed Invigoration with Nitrate Salts on Morpho-Physiological and Growth Parameters of Wheat Crop Sown in Different Dates in its Cropping Season. *Vegetos-An International Journal of Plant Research*, **28**(1), 76-85.

Singh G, SS Gill and KK Sandhu. 2001. Storage of primed seeds of muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.).*Seed Research* **29**(2): 235-237.

STA. 2019. Informational rules for seed testing. International Seed Testing Association. Zurich. Switzerland.

USDABarley Production Foreign Agricultural Service **https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://fas.usda.gov/data/production/commodity/0430000&ved=2ahUKEwiJl8HQ4bWFAxUV7jgGHcXPA08QFnoECA4QBQ&usg=AOvVaw3engcjmo160gDcNqqlojMj**