

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_121817</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties in Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) Intercrop under Buel (<i>Grewia optiva</i> Drummond) based Agroforestry System and Open Condition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript for it gives information regarding organic farming effecting on soil physical and chemical properties. But it is not well structured, some major components are lacking. The results in some cases are not well articulated and shallow that needs critical revision.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties in Wheat (Triticum aestivum) - Buel (Grewia optiva Drummond) intercropped Agroforestry System and Open Condition	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs critical modification. Details can be obtained from the paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is very poor. It needs revision technically and grammatically with due emphasis in objectives, logical flow, idea coherence and other details indicated in each section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please, I suggest to go in to each sections as per given by document. The paper has many irregularities, grammatical defects, and idea mix up and redendancies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I hope not enough because most parts are shallowly discussed and few parts almost nill.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and grammar needs revision	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abrham Shumbulo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia</b>