

PREVALENCE OF GASTROINTESTINAL PARASITES OF POULTRY CHICKEN SLAUGHTERED AND SOLD IN UYO METROPOLIS, AKWA IBOM STATE, NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

Gastrointestinal parasites are importance biological enemies causing poor health in poultry, thereby causing significant reduction in harvest. This study was aimed to assess the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite of poultry chickens slaughtered in Uyo, Akwalbom State. 150intestinal content of poultry chickens slaughtered were collected from poultry dressing units in market within Uyo metropolis and kept in polythene bags, and then transported to the Animal and Environmental Biology laboratory of the University of Uyo for analysis. The gizzard, small and large intestine and caeca were assessed for GIP. The method employed in this assessment was Direct Wet Mount following other standard methods. Observation of the parasites was by microscopic examination of smears mad, thereafter identification of parasite. Five species were encountered in this study: *Ascaris galli* (32.76%) had the highest, and in the order of *Eimeria tenella* (25.86%) > *Raillietinatetragona*(16.39%) > *Histomonasmeleagridis* (16.39%). The least was recorded in *Heterakisgallinarum*(10.34%). Among the 67 (male) and 83 (female) samples, 34.38% and 65.62% respectively recorded positive. *Ascarisgalli*, being the most prevalent was seen in 38 samples and *Histomonasmeleagridis* (the lowest) was seen in only 12 samples. > 12 weeks age group recorded lowest prevalence (9.3%) while 8-12 weeks had highest (19.30%). This study reveals that 39.12% had single parasitic infection, while mixed had 60.94%. Based on these results, poultry in Uyo farms are affected with gastrointestinal parasitic infection. Therefore, it is recommended that farmer should improve upon their management skills and issues concerning hygiene.

Keywords: Prevalence, Gastrointestinal Parasites, Poultry chicken, Uyo metropolis.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Poultry is widely distributed within andwithout Africa. Among members of the poultry family, chicken is the most common and accounts for approximately 98% of the total poultry population in Africa (Solomon and Udoh, 2017). The domestic fowls (*Gallus gallusdomesticus*) are the most numerous than any kind of poultry. They are kept for income generation particularly in the rural areas (Hassouni and Belghyti, 2000). Poultry includes all domestic birds kept for the purpose of human food production (meat and eggs) (Attreet *al.*, 2021). They play a vital role through their contribution to the socio-economic and cultural lives of small-holder farmers (Nyoni and Masika 2012). The greatest impediment to poultry production in Nigeria is diseases (Lawal *et al.*, 2001). Parasites can be a significant factor limiting the productivity of chickens by affecting the growth rate of chickens and causes organ damage and eventually death (Negbenebor and Ali, 2018). The domestic fowls are raised traditionally under free-range management system in villages with little or no supplementary feeding and without any veterinary care, thereby exposing them to parasitic infections (Gary and Richard, 2012). The common internal parasitic infections occur in poultry include cestodes, nematodes and coccidian that may cause considerable damage and great economic loss to the poultry industry due to

Comment [d1]: Important

Comment [d2]: Delete

Comment [d3]: Parasites

Comment [d4]: Contents

Comment [d5]: Rephrase the sentence

Comment [d6]: Parasites

Comment [d7]: Rephrase it

Comment [d8]: Rephrase it

Comment [d9]: Write Including instead of brackets

malnutrition, decreased feed conversion ratio, weight loss, lowered egg production and death in young birds (Attree *et al.*, 2021). Gastrointestinal (GI) parasites are most prevalent and devastating parasites affecting the productivity of poultry birds. They are a concern for the poultry industry worldwide as they can affect the health, welfare and production performance (Shifawet *et al.*, 2021). Chickens pick up eggs of parasite by ingesting contaminated feed, water, litter and intermediate hosts such as snails, earth worms, or other insects (Makalo *et al.*, 2022). These parasitic infections has cause considerable damage and great economic loss to the agriculture industry, ranging from aquaculture (Okon *et al.*, 2020) to poultry industry (Silas *et al.*, 2024) due to malnutrition, decreased feed conversion ratio, weight loss, lowered egg production and death in young birds as a result of damage intestine (Balqis, 2013). Inadequate poultry management practices are responsible for the increase in incidence of parasitic infections. This resulted to 64% infection rate of 300 chickens in Uyo metropolis (Usipet *et al.*, 2017). Keeping in view the importance of these parasites in chickens, this study is undertaken to assess the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite of poultry chicken slaughtered in Cross River, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

Comment [d10]: Agricultural

Comment [d11]: Damaged

Comment [d12]: Reference missing in bibliography

Comment [d13]: Parasites

2.0. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in Uyo LGA, Akwa Ibom State. Uyo is the capital of Akwa Ibom State, in the south south region of Nigeria which stands at a total area of about 140sq miles.

Comment [d14]: Delete

2.2. Sample Collection

A total of one hundred and fifty (150) gastrointestinal tracts of poultry chickens slaughtered in Uyo L.G.A (30 samples each from each locations of five) were obtained and taken to the Department of Animal and Environmental Biology laboratory unit, the University of Uyo for examination.

2.3. Sample Processing

In the laboratory, the gastrointestinal tracts were separated into different parts; the gizzard, small intestine, caeca, and large intestine. Each part was cut open using dissecting scissors and their content emptied into different beakers. The method employed in the examination of the gut contents was Direct Wet Mount. Using DWM method, about 8-10 drops of normal saline were added depending on the amount of the gut content and then mixed together using a spatula. A drop or two of the solution was taken and dropped on a slide, covered with a cover slip then examined under a light microscope with the magnification of x10 and x40.

2.4. Identification of Parasites

The adult worms, eggs, and larva were identified under the microscope with the aid of the identification keys by Ashenafi and Eshetu (2004), and Soulsby (2002).

2.5. Data Analysis

Data obtained from the prevalence study were analyzed using descriptive statistics and the results summarized as percentages. Students' T test was used to analyze the

difference between the prevalence of parasitic genus (*Ascaris*, *Eimeria*, *Heterakis*, *Histomonas*, *Raillietina* and others). Variation in the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite in relation to parasite species, sex and age group were analyzed by using Chi-square statistics. Probabilities (P) was significant at $p \leq 0.05$. Mean intensity, Single and mixed occurrence was also calculated.

Comment [d15]: Single with small s

$$\text{Mean intensity} = \frac{\text{Total number of parasite}}{\text{Total number of host infection}}$$

$$\text{Frequency of occurrence} = \frac{\text{Number of occurrence}}{\text{Total number of occurrence}}$$

3. RESULTS

3.1. Overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of chicken according to species

30 samples each were bought from the five study areas which made up 150 of our total number of samples used. 64 (42.67%) and 86 (57.33%) were found positive and negative respectively for gastrointestinal parasites of poultry chicken (Table 1). The 64 infected samples recorded at least one or mixed of the gastrointestinal parasitic species which includes *Ascarisgalli*(nematode), *Eimeria tenella* (coccidian), *Heterakisgallinarum*(nematode) and *Histomonasmeleagridis* (protozoan) and *Raillietinatetragona*(tapeworm) with 32.76%, 25.86%, 14.65%, 10.34% and 16.39% prevalence respectively and is significant different at $p < 0.05$.

Comment [d16]: Significantly

Table 1: Showing the overall prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of chicken according to species

Comment [d17]: Whether write chicken or chickens but follow the same throughout the manuscript

Species	Total no. of samples	No. of positive samples (%)	No. of negative samples	No. (%) of species infection
<i>Ascaris galli</i>	150	64 (42.67)	86 (57.33)	38 (32.76)
<i>Eimeria tenella</i>	150	64 (42.67)	86 (57.33)	30 (25.86)
<i>Heterakisgallinarum</i>	150	64 (42.67)	86 (57.33)	17 (14.65)
<i>Histomonasmeleagridis</i>	150	64 (42.67)	86 (57.33)	12 (10.34)
<i>Raillietinatetragona</i>	150	64 (42.67)	86 (57.33)	19 (16.39)
Total				116 (100)

Significant at $p \leq 0.05$; $X^2 = 24.33$

3.2. The prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of chicken according to sex

Among the 150 samples, 67 (44.67%) were male while 83 (55.33%) were females samples. Among the 67 male samples, 22 (34.38%) and 45 (30.00%) were recorded positive and negative respectively. 83 female samples were used for this research and 45 (52.33%) were positive while 41 (47.67%) were negative. This is revealed in table 2, were female samples had more prevalence than male samples. Hence, the overall prevalence of GIP on the poultry chicken based on sex was 34.38% and 65.62% for male and female respectively. The prevalence of GIP in poultry male to female chicken was significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Comment [d18]: Female

Table 2: Showing the sex-based prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of chicken.

Sex	No. of samples	No. (%) of infected samples	No. (%) of noninfected samples
Male	67 (44.67)	22 (34.38)	45 (52.33)
Female	83 (55.33)	42 (65.62)	41 (47.67)
Total	150 (100)	64 (100)	86 (100)

Significant at $p \leq 0.05$; $X^2 = 34.19$

3.3. Species prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite of chicken based on sex

In table 3, out of 64 positive samples, *Ascaris galli* being the most prevalence, recorded presence in 38 samples. 18 (15.51%) and 20 (17.24%) were recorded for male and female samples respectively. *Eimeria tenella* was seen in 30 samples. 21 (18.10%) and 9 (7.76%) were recorded for male and female samples respectively. *Heterakis gallinarum* was seen in 17 samples. 10 (8.62%) and 7 (6.03%) were recorded for male and female samples respectively. *Histomonas meleagridis* was seen in 12 samples. 6 (5.17%) and 6 (5.17%) were recorded for male and female samples respectively. 19 samples recorded the presence of *Raillietina tetragona* sp. and 7 (6.03%) and 12 (10.34%) were recorded for male and females respectively. This result reveals that the species prevalence in both male and female samples is not significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3: Showing the sex-based prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites species

Comment [d19]: parasite

Species	No. of positive samples	No. (%) of infected samples	Male	Female
<i>Ascaris galli</i>	64	38 (32.76)	18 (15.51)	20 (17.24)
<i>Eimeria tenella</i>	64	30 (25.86)	21 (18.10)	9 (7.76)

<i>Heterakisgallinarum</i>	64	17 (14.65)	10 (8.62)	7 (6.03)
<i>Histomonasmeleagridis</i>	64	12 (10.34)	6 (5.17)	6 (5.17)
<i>Raillietinatetragona</i>	64	19 (16.38)	7 (6.03)	12 (10.34)
Total		116 (100)	62 (53.45)	54 (46.55)

Significant at $p \leq 0.05$; $X^2 = 18.56$

3.4. The prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites species of chicken based on age group

Three age groups were used for this research; less than 8 weeks, 8-12 weeks and more than 12 weeks. Age group < 8 had 35 (23.33%) with 14 (9.3%) positive samples, while 8-12 being the most prevalent of 29 (19.30%) positive results had 61 (40.67%) samples and >12 had 54 (36.00%) samples and 21 (14.00%) positive results.

Table 4: Showing the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites species of chicken based on age group.

Age	No. of samples examined within the age group (%)	No. of positive samples (%)	<i>Ascaris galli</i> (%)	<i>Eimeria tenella</i> (%)	<i>Heterakis gallinaru m</i> (%)	<i>Histomonas meleagridis</i> (%)	<i>Raillietin atetragona</i> (%)
< 8	35 (23.33)	21 (14.00)	3 (2.00)	3 (2.00)	4 (2.67)	5 (3.33)	6 (4.00)
8-12	61 (40.67)	29 (19.30)	6 (4.00)	8 (5.33)	3 (2.00)	7 (4.67)	5 (3.33)
> 12	54 (36.00)	14 (9.3)	5 (3.33)	4 (2.67)	1 (0.67)	1 (0.67)	3 (2.00)
Total	150 (100)	64 (42.67)	14 (9.33)	15 (10.00)	8 (5.34)	13 (8.67)	14 (9.33)

3.5. The mean intensity of gastrointestinal parasites of poultry chicken.

The mean intensity was determined and revealed to be 1.39, 1.60, 1.94, 1.75 and 1.16 for *Ascarisgalli*, *Eimeria tenella*, *Heterakisgallinarum*, *Histomonasmeleagridis* and *Raillietinatetragona*spp respectively.

Table 5: Showing the mean intensity of gastrointestinal parasites of poultry chicken.

Comment [d20]: parasite

Comment [d21]: parasite

Comment [d22]: Remove full stop

Comment [d23]: not italic

Species	No. of positive samples	No. (%) of species infected samples	No. of parasite	Mean intensity
<i>Ascaris galli</i>	64	38	53	1.39
<i>Eimeria tenella</i>	64	30	48	1.60
<i>Heterakisgallinarum</i>	64	17	33	1.94
<i>Histomonasmeleagridisis</i>	64	12	21	1.75
<i>Raillietinatetragona</i>	64	19	22	1.16
Total		141	222	

3.6. Frequency of occurrence of single and mixed infection of poultry chicken

The frequency of occurrence of the parasites showed that 25 (39.12%) samples of the poultry chickens had single parasitic infection, while mixed infection recorded 39 (60.94%) (Table 6). The prevalence of those with two infections were significantly different ($P < 0.05$). The sex-specific prevalence of the parasites showed that both male and female chickens had the five species parasites.

Table 6: Showing the frequency of occurrence of single and mixed infection of poultry chicken

Species	No. of positive samples	Frequency of occurrence	Prevalence (%)
<i>Ascaris galli</i>	64	9	14.10
<i>Eimeria tenella</i>	64	6	9.40
<i>Heterakisgallinarum</i>	64	7	10.93
<i>Histomonasmeleagridisis</i>	64	2	3.13
<i>Raillietinatetragona</i>	64	1	1.56
Total		25	39.06
<i>Ascarisgalli</i> + <i>Eimeria tenella</i>	64	8	12.50
<i>Ascarisgalli</i> + <i>Heterakisgallinarum</i>	64	6	9.35
<i>Ascarisgalli</i> + <i>Histomonasmeleagridisis</i>	64	3	4.69
<i>Ascarisgalli</i> + <i>Raillietinatetragona</i>	64	4	6.25
<i>Eimeria tenella</i> + <i>Heterakisgallinarum</i>	64	4	6.25
<i>Eimeria tenella</i> +	64	1	1.55

<i>Histomonas meleagridis</i>			
<i>Eimeria tenella</i> + <i>Raillietina tetragona</i>	64	8	12.50
<i>Heterakis gallinarum</i> + <i>Histomonas meleagridis</i>	64	2	3.12
<i>Heterakis gallinarum</i> + <i>Raillietina tetragona</i>	64	3	4.68
<i>Histomonas meleagridis</i> + <i>Raillietina tetragona</i>	64	0	0
Total		39	60.94
Subtotal		64	100

3.2. Discussion

The study revealed the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in poultry chickens sampled in five different places in Uyo, Akwalbom State, Nigeria. A total of 150 GI samples with different parasites were observed. The prevalence of the GIP in this research was 42.67%. The 64 infected samples recorded at least one or mixed of the gastrointestinal parasitic species which includes *Ascaris galli* (nematode), *Eimeria tenella* (coccidian), *Heterakis gallinarum* (nematode) and *Histomonas meleagridis* (protozoan) and *Raillietina tetragona* (tapeworm) with 32.76%, 25.86%, 14.65%, 10.34% and 16.39% prevalence respectively. In this study, *Ascaris galli* had the highest prevalence which agrees with Johnson *et al.*, (2019) who reported *Ascaridiagalli* (42%) and Afia *et al.*, (2019) with 41% prevalence of *Ascaridiagalli* and Offionget *al.*, (2013), all in Akwa Ibom State.

The high rate of *Ascaridiagalli* in this study could be as a result of poor sanitary conditions of the environment and lack of proper medication. It could also be due to a high rate of moisture which supports larval development and enhances transmission. Additionally, *A. galli* have a direct mode of transmission and their eggs are very resistant to the environment and can survive on the outside for a long time. The eggs are passed out in the faeces of the host and develop into the infective stage in the open, contaminating feed and water sources. New hosts become infected when they ingest the infective eggs from these sources. In the deep litter system, the eggs can probably remain infective for years depending on the temperature, humidity, pH, and ammonium concentration and so where proper managerial practices are not in place, feed and water sources of birds can easily be contaminated, as farm handlers can transport the eggs of these parasites from other sources to the farm site.

The reason for the low prevalence of *Raillietina species* could be attributed to unfavorable environmental conditions which appear to be unfavorable for the survival of *Raillietina species* eggs and the development of insects (Hymenoptera) which serves as an intermediate host.

Comment [d24]: Include reference in bibliography/reference section

Comment [d25]: Not italic

Comment [d26]: Not italic

The result of this research could be attributed to differences in chicken management practices, hygiene standards, feeding habit of the poultry owners and possibly its host immunity. Similar reports of helminthes infection found in domestic fowl in this study have been documented as described from other parts of Nigeria (Elijah *et al.*, 2022) result that reported *Ascaridiagalli*(84.1%) as highest prevalence in MalfaAtai Junctionguri. This result also disagrees with Montes-Vergara *et al.*, (2021) that reported a very low prevalence of *Ascaris galli* (18.4%). This result could strongly be as a result of prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite infections based on regions and countries (Shifawet *et al.*, 2010). For example, prevalences between 97.6% and 99.2% were reported in Germany (Larki *et al.*, 2018), 99.3% in Italy (Wuthijareeet *et al.*, 2017), 72% in Iran, 73.9% in Thailand (Wuthijareeet *et al.*, 2019), and 95.8% in Zambia (Chilindaet *et al.*, 2020), with environmental conditions, age of birds, and availability of intermediate hosts being the main determinants for the variability of parasite prevalence (Sahu *et al.*, 2016).

Comment [d27]: Delete

Comment [d28]: Rephrase

In this study, gastrointestinal parasitic infection was higher in female samples 55.33% over male samples with 44.67%. However, prevalence rate among male and female chicken is statistically not significant at $p < 0.05$. This is in agreement with Elijah *et al.*, (2022) that in female samples were more prevalent with 48.6% and 34.9%, Pam *et al.*, (2015) that reported 55% and 45% prevalence for female and male respectively and Asumanget *et al.*, (2019), but declines with Berheet *et al.*, (2019) result that recorded higher prevalence in male over female, Negbenebor and Ali (2018). The high prevalence in female could be by chance or may be related to their feeding habit as the females are known to be more voracious in their feeding habits especially during egg production than the males which remain largely selective (Sonaiya, 1990). Though some zoonotic parasites can be found in chickens, the ones recovered in this study were not zoonotic and therefore might not pose any risk of infection to handlers.

Comment [d29]: Can be kept in brackets

Comment [d30]: Use proper word

Comment [d31]: Place it properly

Age groups of GIP infection was considered in this study and revealed that age group 8-12 weeks (40.67%) had more infections than < 8 weeks (36.00%) and > 12 (23.33%) weeks. Same result in poultry was also reported by Hembram *et al.*, (2015), Sheikh *et al.* (2016), Lawal *et al.*, (2016), Bandi *et al.*, (2020) and Zalizet *et al.*, (2021).

Comment [d32]: Check reference as author name is not matching in bibliography

High rate of infection in 8-12 age group birds may be due to decreased immunity as well as continuous exposure to infections from the contaminated litter. Age group of >8 weeks had lowest prevalence which could be as a result of consistency immunization and intensive care as it is known to curb cases of vulnerability of these poultry to diseases. It could also be associated with the immature immune system in young birds leaving them susceptible to infection even with the lower or less pathogenic strain of these species (Chapman *et al.* 2005).

For mean intensity (MI) of the five GIP species, had the highest prevalence rate in *Ascaridiagalli*. *Ascaridiagalli* was more prevalent in both single and multiple type of infection. Thus, the order of frequency of occurrence in the parasitic species was *Ascaris galli* (46.89%) being the highest, *Eimeria tenella*, *Heterakis gallinarum*, *Histomonas meleagridis* and *Raillietina tetragona*.

Comment [d33]: Keep A only, and write either Ascaris or Ascaridiathroughout the manuscript

Comment [d34]: Add in discussion few sentences about coccidiosis prevalence and prevalence of other mentioned parasites.

Comment [d35]: For this parasite, check Heterakisgallinarum-A review authored by me under the name Shagufta Iqbal.

Majority of the species identified in this study have been reported as potentially pathogenic for poultry, inducing ulcerations and nodule formations and varying degrees of enteritis leading to diarrhoea, anorexia, depression, emaciation and death if untreated (Soulsby 2002). Also, such parasitized poultry chicken can be sources of infections to more intensively managed poultry through contaminated equipments and animal handlers. In addition, the finding of *Ascaridiagalli* in this study is particularly significant due to its association with *Eimeria tenella* and/or *Histomonas meleagridis*, the causal agent of blackhead of poultry especially in domesticated turkey (Soulsby 2002); as such these birds could act as reservoirs of the disease. Therefore, the results obtained from this work points alarmingly to the possible economic losses that may arise from these high levels of infection among poultry chicken.

4.1. Conclusion

In the studied area, it is essential to know the conditions of the farms and farmers to develop the best prevention program, allowing the recognition of the factors that influence the possibility of disease incidence. The result from this study indicated that there was no significant difference in prevalence between locations. All the five species of GIP were present in both genders from all the study area.

4.2. Recommendations

It is recommended that farmer's intensive systems of poultry keeping should be educated by veterinary extension officers on the various kinds of gastrointestinal parasites in association with chickens and poultry as a whole and the dangers they pose in production and consumers.

Farmer and managers of poultry farms should improve upon their management skills and issues concerning hygiene.

Further studies on different species of poultry chicken should be carried on the subject matter, covering different methods and parameters to enhance better results.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the first author, (*OLO) upon request.

REFERENCES

- Afia U. U., Usip L. P. and Udoaka U. E. (2019). Prevalence of Gastro-Intestinal Helminths in Local and Broiler Chickens in Ibesikpo Local Government Area, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Zoological Research*, 2019, Vol. 7, No. 1, 1-7
- Ashenifa, H., Tadesse, S., Medhin, G. and Tibbo, M. (2004). Study on coccidiosis of scavenging indigenous chickens in central Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 36: 693-701.

Comment [d36]: Check whether all references in the text are included in this section

- Asumang, P., Delali, J. A., Wiafe, F., Kamil, Z., Balali, G. I., Dela, V. A., Siaw, W. N. and Pinamang, G. (2019). Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasites in Local and Exotic Breeds of Chickens in Pankrono–Kumasi, Ghana. *Journal of Parasitology Research*. 12(5):7pages.
- Attree, E., Gonzalo, S., Michelle, J., Dong, X., Virginia Marugan, H. and Damer, B. (2021). Controlling the causative agents of coccidiosis in domestic chickens; an eye on the past and considerations for the future. *CABI Agriculture and Bioscience*. 2021;2(1):37.
- Bandi, A., Pattipati, M., Chennuru, S., Pentela, R. and Kokila, S. (2020). A cross-sectional study on gastrointestinal parasites in backyard poultry in Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Livestock Research* 10(2): 46–60.
- Berhe, M., Mekibib, B., Bsrat, A. and Atsbaha, G. (2019). Gastrointestinal Helminth Parasites of Chicken under Different Management System in Mekelle Town, Tigray Region, Ethiopia. *Hindawi Journal of Veterinary Medicine*. ID 13(7)p7.
- Chilinda, I., Lungu, J. C. N., Phiri, I. K., Chibinga, O. C. and Simbaya, J. (2020). Prevalence of helminths infestation in indigenous free-ranging chickens in different ecological zones in Zambia. *Livest Res Rural Dev*. 32(9):147.
- Elijah, B. K., *Onyiche, E. T., Midala, C., Luka, J. and Biu, A. A. 92022). Gastrointestinal Helminths of Local Chickens (*Gallus gallusdomesticus*Linnaeus) and Guinea Fowls (*Numidameleagrisgaleata* Pallas) Slaughtered in MalfaAtaiJunctionguri, Nigeria. *Sahel J. Vet. Sci.* 19 (4)1-5.
- EUAA (Europeau Union Agency for Asylum) (2021). <https://euaa.europa.eu/country-guidance-nigeria-2021/akwa-ibom>. Retrieved 24 May 2023.
- Fayner, R. (1980). "Epidemiology of protozoan infections: the coccidia". *Veterinary Parasitology*. 6 (1–3): 75–103.
- Fereira, J. (2017). "Chickens and Eggs Annual Summary" (<https://usda.library.cornell.edu/concern/publications/1v53jw96n>) from the original on April 26, 2017. Retrieved April 25, 2017.
- Foreyt, W. J. (1990). "Coccidiosis and Cryptosporidiosis in Sheep and Goats". *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice*. 6 (3): 655–670.
- Frantovo, D. (2000). Some parasitic nematodes (Nematoda) of birds (Aves) in the Czech Republic. *ActaSocietatisZoologicaeBohemicae*. 66(1):13-28
- Fung, I. C. and Cairncross, S. (2009). "Ascariasis and handwashing". *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 103 (3): 215–22.
- Ganapathy, K., Salamat, M. H., Lee, C. C. and Johara, M. Y. (2000). "Concurrent occurrence of salmonellosis, colibacillosis and histomoniasis in a broiler

- flock fed with antibiotic-free commercial feed". *Avian Pathology*. 29 (6): 639–642.
- Gary, D. B. and Richard, D. M. (2012). Intestinal parasites in backyard chicken flock 1 In: VM 76, Series of Veterinary Medicine- Large animal clinical sciences, University of Florida. <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.
- Gerard, P. and Worrell, A. K. (2008). "Farmer Jerry". "Frequently asked questions about chickens and eggs". (<http://gworrell.freeyellow.com/chickenfaq.html>) from the original on September 16, 2008. Retrieved August 13, 2008.
- Gilbert, M., Conchedda, G. T. and Van Boeckel, D. (2015). Income disparities and the global distribution of intensively farmed chicken and pigs. *PLoS ONE*. 10(7):32-38.
- Grieths, H. J. (1978). A Handbook of Veterinary Parasitology: Domestic Animals of North America. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, pp. 4647.
- Hagel, I. and Giusti, T. (2010). "Ascaris lumbricoides: an overview of therapeutic targets". *PLoS ONE*. 10(5): 349–367.
- Hassouini, T. and Belghyti, D. (2000). Distribution gastrointestinal helminths in chicken farms in the Gharb region-Morocco. *Parasitology Research*. 1:1-5
- Hembram, A., Panda, M. R., Mohanty, B. N., Pradhan, C. R., Dehuri, M., Sahu, A. and Behera, M. (2015). Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in Banaraja fowls reared in semi-intensive system of management in Mayurbhanj district of Odisha. *Veterinary World* 8(6): 723–726.
- Larki, S., Alborzi, A., Chegini, R. and Amiri, R. A. (2018). Preliminary survey on gastrointestinal parasites of domestic ducks in Ahvaz, Southwest Iran. *Iran J Parasitol*. 13(1):137–44.
- Lawal JR, Jajere SM, Ibrahim UI, Geidam YA, Gulani IA, Musa G, Ibekwe BU (2016) Prevalence of coccidiosis among village and exotic breed of chickens in Maiduguri, Nigeria, *Veterinary World*, 9(6), 653-659.
- Makalo, M. J., Mtshali, K., Tsotetsi-Khambule, A. M., Mofokeng, L. S., Taioe, M. O., Onyiche, T. E. and Thekiso, O. M. (2022). First report of gastrointestinal nematodes and coccidia parasites from free-range chickens in Mafeteng district, Lesotho. *Veterinary Parasitology: Regional Studies and Reports*, 36, 100798.
- Negbenebor, H. E. and Ali, M. (2018). Prevalence of gastro-intestinal parasites of local chickens (*Gallus gallusdomestica*) in Kano, Nigeria. *Ann. Microb. Infec. Dis.* 1(4): 45-49
- Nyoni, N. M. B. and Masika, P. J. (2012). Village chicken production practices in the Amatola Basin of the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 7, 2647–2652

- Offiong, E. E. A., Obioku, O. E., Umoh, J. U., Essien, C. A. and Idiong, N. B. (2013). A Survey of Gastrointestinal Helminthes of Local Chickens in Abak Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State. *International Journal of Science: Basic and Applied Research*, 9(1), 1-4.
- Okon, A. O., Udoinyang, E. P. and Essien, E. A. (2020). Growth performance of the African Catfish, *Clariasgariepinus* fingerlings fed four commercial feeds. *Journal of Wetlands and Waste Management*. 4(1):51-55.
- Sahu, S., Anand, A., Sinha, K. P. (2016) Studies on the prevalence of helminthic infection in broiler poultrybirds from Darbhanga region of North Bihar, India. *Int J Fauna Biol Stud*. 3:15–18.
- Sheikh, B. A., Ahmad, F. and Sofi, T. A. (2016). Morphology and prevalence of some helminth parasites in *Gallus domesticus* from Gurez valley of Jammu and Kashmir, India. *Journal of Fisheries and Livestock Production* 4:151-159.
- Shifaw, A., Feyera, T., Walkden-Brown, S., Sharpe, W., Elliott, B. and Ruhnke, T. (2010). Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in three groups of domestic poultry managed under backyard system in the Savanna subregion, Department of Sucre, Colombia. *Anim. Sci.* 177(7):17–25.
- Silas, I. I., Essien, E. A., Anietie, H. N., Inyang, S. X. and Akpan, A. E. (2024). Comparative Study on the Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasite between Wild and Pond Raised African Sharptooth Catfish *Clariasgariepinus* in Akwa Ibom State. *South Asian Journal of Parasitology Volume 7, Issue 2, Page 133-140, 2024; Article no.SAJP.116105*
- Solomon, I. P. and Udoh, U. H. (2007). Haematological indices in three genotypes (naked neck, frizzled feather and normal feathered) of Nigerian local chicken. *Advances in Life Sciences* 54: 29–34.
- Sonaiya, E. B. (1990). The context and prospects for development of small holder rural poultry production in African. Proceedings CTA international seminar on small holder rural poultry production. Thessaloniki, Greece, 1:35-52.
- Soulsby, E. J. (2002) Helminths, arthropods and protozoa of domesticated animals. 7th Ed. Lea &Febiger, Philadelphia pg. 164.
- Usip, L. P. E., Okoro, M. F. and Udo, K. S. (2017). Prevalence of Intestinal Parasite of Poultry Chicken in Uyo Urban, Akwalbom State, Nigeria. *World Journal of Applied Science and Technology*.9(2)165 -172.
- Wuthijaree, K., Lambert, C., Vearasilp, T., Anusatsananun, V., and Gauly, M. (2019). Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in thai indigenous chickens raised under backyard conditions in Northern Thailand. *J Appl Poult Res*. 28:221–9.
- Zalazar, L., Winaya, A., Malik, A., Widodo, W., and Anggraini, A. D. (2021). Species identification and prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in Indonesian native chickens, and its impact on egg production. *Biodiversitas*. 22(10):4363-4369.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

