

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123050
Title of the Manuscript:	Influential Factors Shaping Farmers Knowledge Levels Regarding Critical Interventions in Dryland Farming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Enormous importance for the social development of farmers by assessing the main factors influencing their production. The results demonstrate that factors such as education, farm size, yield, information-seeking behavior, irrigation status, and economic orientation play significant roles in improving agricultural knowledge, which in turn can increase productivity and improve livelihoods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, I think the location where the research was conducted needs to be added. 'Influential Factors Shaping Farmers Knowledge Levels Regarding Critical Interventions in Dryland Farming in Southeast India'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	After the suggested corrections, the paper will be robust in indicating socio-economic factors of redgram and cotton crops in Southeast India.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but there was a suggestion to add more references on the age study correlating the search for more information on a personal level within	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In the original edition, no. But after the suggested corrections, the English of the article will be suitable for publication.	
Optional/General comments	Suggestion to add a graph for each crop, relating the factors evaluated. Multivariate analysis would be the most appropriate.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Camilo Andre Pereira Contreras Sanchez
Department, University & Country	UNESP, FCA, Brazil