

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121881
Title of the Manuscript:	SUCCESSION OF MAJOR PESTS AND PREDATORY FAUNA IN OKRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Okra is an important vegetable crop widely cultivated in India. The insect pests such as jassid <i>Amrasca biguttula biguttula</i> Ishida, aphid <i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover, whitefly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> Gennadius, shoot and fruit borer <i>Earias insulana</i> Boisduval and <i>Earias vittella</i> Fabricius and fruit borer <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> Hubner cause significant losses. The knowledge on the succession of these pests and predators is important in taking necessary steps for the management of the pests. So, it is important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have added some minor changes in the manuscript file which should be incorporated.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodology, results, and analysis are ok. So, the manuscript is scientifically robust. Only need to add the importance of succession in the introduction section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add some content in the introduction section and some recent references in the discussion section	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Check carefully all comments and incorporate them in the manuscript. In headings and tables scientific name should be full. Use scientific names with abbreviated in the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Jena
Department, University & Country	Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland