

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121881
Title of the Manuscript:	SUCCESSION OF MAJOR PESTS AND PREDATORY FAUNA IN OKRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript title " SUCCESSION OF MAJOR PESTS AND PREDATORY FAUNA IN OKRA". There are several flaws in the data presentation and the illustration of this study, which are discussed below.2. References are not enough to support the present investigation.3. The authors did compare the averaged values in Table 2 on occurrence of pests and predatory fauna in okra crop. It seems not to be an adequate way of comparing without any suitable statistical tests. Data is lacking of \pm SEM and lettering too.4. Findings of study are obvious from the tables listed, no need to give figure for same work. Delete it so you can to avoid repetition.5. Moreover, the study is lacking in the novelty and purpose of study. It should reflect in the introduction as well as in the conclusion.6. Conclusion need to be revised as per the given remarks.7. Some references are mismatched form the text and reference list and need to be corrected as per the comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lokender Kashyap
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India