

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_121807
Title of the Manuscript:	Suggestion for farmers to adoption of Solar Pumps in Jodhpur District of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No commensuration in TITLE of the manuscript and the BODY of the manuscript. Authors are suggested to be clear what they are presenting and accordingly what should be the Title of the Paper 1. Abstract is very poorly written it is part of methodology. Nothing concretes in abstract. Most parts are general statements. Follow the suggestions made in comment box in reviewed manuscript 1. Introduction is full of genera comments. Problem statement(s) is/are completely missing 1. Data table(s), statistical analysis, graph(s), design, content of questionnaire, nature of problem (as stated in methodology) needs to be included in manuscript. Category of farmers of study area, land holding sizes, available solar pump sizes, source of water, problems faced by farmers in using solar pumps etc. are to be incorporated in manuscript 1. Suggestions/suggestion for future research/ recommendations-the part of RESULT AND DISCUSSION- do not find any concrete basis in methodology. A critical approach is needed for improvement in manuscript to make it worth for publishing 1. A critical approach needed for spelling errors and repetition of statements 1. In attached file of reviewed manuscript some suggestions are incorporated using comment box for improvement in manuscript from the author(s)' side. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>A. Rahman</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>India</p>