

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Medicinal Plants</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJMP_122128</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comprehensive Review on the Formulation Development and Pharmacological Potentials of Euphorbia hirta</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is suitable for scientific community. I like this article	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	no	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is good scientifically robust and technically sound, because to select common plants; anyone can get it easily and use it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Yes, we use some recent references</li> <li>2- Check the references more references found in the text but not mention in references list</li> <li>3- Most references don't have date it means these references are not publish, therefore these are not acceptable</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chnar Najmaddin Fathulla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Salahaddin University, Iraq</b>