

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_121470
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNAL MIGRATION AND THE SPREAD OF HIV/AIDS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	REVIEW ARTICLE – REVIEWING SECONDARY DATA FROM THE TANZANIA HIV/AIDS AND MALARIA INDICATOR SURVEY OF 2011/2012 COLLECTED BY THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STATISTICS.

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Any form of migration, internal or external, has had a significant impact on the transmission of HIV, hence a lot of truck drivers, migrant mine workers, etc died of HIV/AIDS during the early years of the disease. Most research concentrated on external migrant workers yet internal migration also has a significant impact. Therefore, critical consideration of policies aimed at country level, such as this piece of work from researchers in Tanzania could reduce HIV transmission from these groups or to these groups.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title could be improved if it read, " <i>The Impact of Internal Migration on the spread of HIV in Tanzania</i> ". The AIDS may be dropped because HIV is spread and not AIDS. AIDS occurs as a result of the presence of HIV.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly improve background information on HIV in Tanzania and how much internal migration is there in the country. 2. Include findings of some statistical analysis to qualify your results e.g. rather than just saying "infection rate is higher", express odds ratios and p-values. These values are already presented in the results section. The abstract should provide a concise overview of the whole study, including the results. 3. The abstract should include a brief discussion of the results. 4. The key words must include "HIV/AIDS in migrants" 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Other sections are appropriate but the authors have no section that discusses the results. They have only presented the results. The discussion should include reference to similar studies conducted elsewhere in order to strengthen the authors' arguments.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The results section provides a good analysis but more statistical analysis is missing for some sections, e.g. the prevalence in migrants and non-migrants could better be compared using a statistical analysis of the significance of the difference, rather than expressing only proportions. 2. The marital status only includes married persons. It would be interesting to also consider unmarried migrants for ease of comparison. 3. I'm not sure what urban means in this regard i.e. whether it includes peri-urban residential areas or not. A majority of migrants move from rural to peri-urban. The set-up in urban restricts immigrants from rural areas to immediately enter urban centres. 4. The manuscript requires a thorough discussion section which allows the author(s) to use literature or other similar studies to strengthen their arguments. The researchers have only presented the results but have not discussed their findings. 5. The first paragraph of the literature review simple lists studies conducted among mine workers in South Africa. The authors have not presented the findings of each study e.g. the studies by Lurie et al., 2003a; Zuma et al., 2003, Ramjee and Grouws, 2002; Douglas, 2000; Kamwanga et al., 2006, etc. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Kindly make an attempt to include more recent publications on the topic. There is some recent literature on the topic e.g. the study by Ross et al., (2018) " <i>HIV outcomes among migrants from low- and middle-income countries living in high-income countries: a review of recent evidence</i> ", or the publication by Santoso et al., 2022 " <i>HIV prevalence ratio of international migrants compared to their native-born counterparts: A systematic review and meta-analysis</i> ", by Schousboe and Wejse, 2021, " <i>HIV Prevalence in Migrant Groups Based on Country of Origin: A Systematic Review on Data Obtained between 1993 and 2020</i> ", and many others.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Kindly go over the manuscript and make corrections in the text. Some sentences need to be re-written.	
Optional/General comments	THE MANUSCRIPT HAS HUGE SCIENTIFIC VALUE BUT JUST REQUIRES A SCIENTIFC APPROACH/WRITING THROUGHOUT ALL THE SECTIONS. I SUGGEST THE AUTHORS INCLUDE A SCIENTIFIC WRITER TO ASSIST WITH OVERLAYING OF THE DIFFERENT SECTIONS AND ENSURING THAT THEY CONNECT, CREATING A SMOOTH FLOOR IN THE MANUSCRIPT.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sabelo Vusi Dlamini
Department, University & Country	University of Eswatini, Eswatini