

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_121470
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNAL MIGRATION AND THE SPREAD OF HIV/AIDS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is important for scientific community to understand the relationship between internal migration and the situation of HIV/AIDS. It identifies the possible and vulnerable migrants and the influence factors. It further supports to develop strategy for mitigating the influence of spreading diseases in the communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Abstract is not complete. At least, author should add the methodological part i.e. research design and overall research tools and techniques should be clear on abstract. Furthermore, author is unable to show the implication or novelty of his/her research, it should be mentioned on abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Result part is clear though it was very short, however author is unable to mention discussion part, which is most important in research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author should revise on his/her manuscript to make it scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, almost references are sufficient, however there are not updated or new reference. Author should cite new information till 2024. (All citations are kept old one).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is communicable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Chandra Bhatt
Department, University & Country	Far Western University, Nepal