

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_119668
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of organic nutrient sources on nutrient, quality and yield parameters of vegetable cluster bean
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title fits well with the content of the article. In other words, the title is appropriate.</p> <p>The summary of the article is short, clear and concise, which makes the evaluator's task easier.</p> <p>The document is well structured and the sub-sections are appropriate</p> <p>In view of the methodology used to achieve the objectives set and the results obtained, I am able to say that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Several of the 30 references in the article (1970, 1956, 1973, 1965, 1954, 1950, 1966, 1949, 2007) should be replaced by the most recent references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the English language is good and can be considered suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Louis Ahouet</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Marien Ngouabi University, Republic of the Congo</b>