

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_122711
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield gap analysis of Ginger in Arunachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1- Among the importance of this manuscript:1- 1- Ginger is one of the oldest herbal medicines that Indian people have used a lot and finally it was taken from India to other countries.1- 2- India has a lot of use of this product in world trade. 1- Why do you like this manuscript? 2- I am interested in the product of spices, especially ginger.2- 2-The ginger plant plays a role in preserving biodiversity. 2- 3- Because I like the taste and smell of ginger, I am interested in this manuscript.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes. The title of the article is consistent with the text.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>no The abstract is not comprehensive due to lack of proper structure (background, method of doing work, results and necessary conclusions and recommendations).</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript has a good structure (main components) to some extent.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1- The cost-benefit of Nadia's ginger product was good work by the author(s).2- The main components of the product (Nadia Ginger) are scientifically correct for data analysis. 2-Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? 2- The target area of the research (India) has been poorly managed by the author(s) of this manuscript due to its biodiversity, multi-purpose climate and agricultural opportunities.For example: the abstract and the conclusion, which are the most important parts of the manuscript, both do not have a proper structure.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No, referencing and the sources used is one of the most technical actions of the author(s) in completing a manuscript.But, in this manuscript, most of the references are old.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript, in my opinion, is suitable for publication after completion, but needs to be added value. I wish the author(s) more effort and success.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyyed Akbar Sadaty
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran