

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122858
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting knowledge and adoption of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices in Rice Wheat Cropping System (RWCS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing the adoption of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices among farmers in Haryana. By evaluating the profile characteristics of those engaged in the Rice-Wheat Cropping System (RWCS), the study highlights key determinants such as education level, landholding size, and socio-economic status that affect INM adoption.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a clear overview of the study but could benefit from a few enhancements to ensure it fully captures the essence and significance of the research. Here are some suggestions for improvement:	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections of the manuscript appear to be generally appropriate, but some adjustments could enhance clarity and coherence. Here's a suggested structure for improvement	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its systematic approach to analyzing factors influencing the adoption of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices. The study is scientifically rigorous, having been conducted with a clear focus on a well-defined agricultural system—the Rice-Wheat Cropping System (RWCS) in Haryana.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, Suitable	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohitashv Nagar
Department, University & Country	Career Point University, India